

glossary on demand



a mini guide to modern JavaScript programming through common terminology explanation

Andrea Giammarchi

@WebReflection

JavaScript glossary on demand

a mini guide to modern JavaScript programming through common terminology explanation

© 2016 Andrea Giammarchi

Tweet This Book!

Please help Andrea Giammarchi by spreading the word about this book on Twitter!

The suggested hashtag for this book is **#jsglossary**.

Find out what other people are saying about the book by clicking on this link to search for this hashtag on Twitter:

https://twitter.com/search?q=#jsglossary

to the vibrant JavaScript community

Contents

	1
audience: who is this book for	1
how to read this book	1
special thanks	1
technical editor	1
the author	2
what this book is about	2
what this book is not	2
debug	3
comments	3
operators	3
parenthesis	4
brackets	4
references	5
variables	5
constants	6
types	6
invoke	8
function declaration VS function expression	8
named function expressions	9
scope	10
private and nested scope	10
context	11
global context	12
method context	13
invoking a function via call or apply	14
explicit context	15
arguments	16
Array and generic collections iteration	18
for loop	18
Incremental ++ operator	19
Array methods	21
array.iorEach(caliback, context)	21

	22
array.map(callback, context) → newArray	22
array.filter(callback, context) \rightarrow newArray	23
array.some(callback, context) → boolean	23
array.every(callback, context) \rightarrow boolean	24
array index $Of(value, from Index) \rightarrow number$	24
prototype and prototypal inheritance	25
genericA isPrototypeOf(genericB) \rightarrow boolean	26
Object prototype	27
object to String() \rightarrow string	28
native	20
class	30
constructor	31
instance	32
inbaritance	J2 22
the in energier	دد ۸ د
for/in loop	24 24
	34
	35
$object.propertylsEnumerable(name) \rightarrow boolean$	35
object.hasOwnProperty(name) → boolean	36
shared properties	36
getters and setters	38
descriptors	40
Object.defineProperty(obj, name, descriptor) \rightarrow obj	40
try catch finally	42
which descriptor for what	43
common property descriptor	43
common class and native method descriptor	44
common defensive method descriptor	44
common lazy property descriptor	44
Object.getOwnPropertyDescriptor(obj, name) \rightarrow desc	45
Object.defineProperties(obj, descriptors) → obj	46
delete	46
Object.getOwnPropertyNames(obj) → arrayOfAllNames	47
Object.keys(obj) → arrayOfOwnEnumerableNames	47
public and public static	47
extends	49
super	50
implements	51
interfaces	51
trait and mixin	52
if else switch and conditional logic	53
conditional statement	53
	55

CONTENTS

ternary operator	54
switch statement	55
logical operator ^(read as OR)	55
logical && operator ^(read as AND)	56
truthy and falsy values	57
antipattern	57
DOM	57
tree	58
Web IDL	59
EventTarget registration interface	59
void	60
DOMString	60
EventListener	60
EventListener using a function	62
function bind	63
Event	64
browser events	65
bubbling and capturing	65
event.stopPropagation()	66
event.preventDefault()	67
CustomEvent	67
EventEmitter	68
signature	68
parameters	69
node.js events	69
WeakMap	70
Symbol	71
primitives	71
Object.getOwnPropertySymbols(obj) \rightarrow arrayOfSymbols	72
shared Symbols	72
special Symbols	73
for/of loop	73
fat arrow	73
generator	74
yield	75
generator.next(value) \rightarrow {done:boolean, value:any}	76
generator.throw(error)	77
Promises	77
promise.then(resolved, rejected) \rightarrow newPromise	79
promise.then(resolved).catch(anyError) \rightarrow newPromise	79
promise.then(fn).then(fn) \rightarrow newPromise	80
Promise.all(arrayOfPromises) → newPromise	80

CONTENTS

Promise.resolve(value) \rightarrow newPromise	81
Generators and Promises	82
Timers	84
setTimeout(fn, delay, arg1, arg2, argN) \rightarrow timerIdentifier	84
clearTimeout(timerlidentifier)	84
setInterval(fn, delay, arg1, arg2, argN) \rightarrow timerIdentifier	84
clearInterval(timeridentifier)	85
requestAnimationFrame(fn) \rightarrow rafidentifier	85
process.next lick(m)	80
requestible (in, wait Expires in) \rightarrow ricidentifier	80 70
tagged template strings	0/ 70
regular expression	0/
ISON	00 80
Math	89
parseInt(string_base) \rightarrow integerNumber	89
parseFloat(string) \rightarrow floatNumber	89
Recent ECMAScript features	90
	90
rest parameters	91
Man	91
Map Set and WeakSet	91
Provy	92
destructuring	92
JavaScript F.A.Q. on demand	93
USETUI IINKS	94

audience: who is this book for

For absolute beginners or more advanced developers, this book explains the most common terms used in JavaScript programming, client or server, through examples.

If you cannot understand technical articles, this book will explain each term.

If you are looking to refresh and update your knowledge about modern terminology, patterns, and their applications, this book will also help you.

how to read this book

This book could somehow be compared to a waterfall: it starts quietly, by describing simple basic terms, and then accelerates until it covers most modern features towards the end.

Being a compact book I recommend you do a quick read-through first, and once completed, go back to any specific words, so that every term is clear.

special thanks

To every person that believed in me and helped me with this idea.

In particular: Robert Woloschanowski, Stoyan Stefanov, Arianna De Mario, Cinzia Giammarchi, Maria Teresa Sardella, Luca Vavassori, every member of the TC39 and the ECMAScript Mailing List who in all these years has been patient enough to deal with my questions and rants.

technical editor

A special thanks goes to Stoyan Stefanov, who is the author of JavaScript Patterns, Web Performance Daybook Volume 2, JavaScript for PHP Developers and others O'REILLY best sellers, helped me reviewing content as well as code and examples.

the author

Andrea Giammarchi is an experienced Web and Mobile Development consultant, formerly a Senior Software Engineer at Twitter, previously at Facebook, NOKIA, and others.

what this book is about

Many online articles, as well as many other books, take for granted that the reader knows the meaning of every single term used to describe generic software related topics.

The truth is that there are many people that would like to understand "what the heck" is all this programming about, the same people that might stop reading the article, or feel confused, the very moment they come across terms such as variable, reference, context, scope, object, and so on. While I can instantly assure everyone that programming is not always trivial, and it's surely not something you can possibly learn overnight, or maybe just reading a couple of books, I also strongly believe one really shouldn't need a degree in Computer Science to understand basic articles that, for example, simply use some JavaScript to click a button to show an alert ...

... and *"what is an alert?"* It's a modal window with a warning message and an OK button.

... and "what does modal mean?" It means it blocks the interaction with the program.

Do you see how many questions already? And the more we describe, the more we understand, the more other terms come up, needing more answers and more explanations.

Well, this is why I've written this "JavaScript glossary on demand", hoping that you won't feel disoriented ever again while reading online articles, or other more advanced books.

what this book is not

Every single term in this book could require a book of its own to describe all its glory, history, or "gotchas". JavaScript (JS) has been around for more than 20 years now, and while I've tried to keep things as simple as possible, the aim is to explain modern JS first, since it's daily JS that you want to learn, and not the one from the 90's. My apologies in advance if some descriptions are not absolutely perfect. You are the one in charge of any deeper investigation if needed. So ... shall we?

debug

It's the basis, because it's what we need whenever we write code and we want to know if such code succeeded or failed. This verification procedure is known as *"debugging"*, for the simple reason that it's usually performed through a *"debugger"*, which is a developer's helper tool that most modern browsers provide.

Just try to "right click" on any webpage, and see if there is "inspect element" as the last option of the menu. This is how you can test all examples in this book: click "inspect element" and then the button or tab called "console", and start writing in it pieces of code, also known as "snippets", while we walk through the examples.

As an alternative, you could also use the *node.js command line interface*, since most examples are environment agnostic, which means these will work in every browsers as well as servers.

Every code example contains some grayed out comments, I strongly suggest you read all of them at least once, and focus on the actual programming afterwards.

comments

In programming, comments are meaningful descriptions of the code itself. They are ignored during code execution, and can be // single line, ending when a new line is encountered, or /* multi line */

operators

If you take a calculator, you can see numbers and arithmetic operations on it.

In programming it's basically the same, there are arithmetic, comparison, assignment, conditional and other operations. We can use them right away in any console.

```
// let's do some math
1 + 2; // shows 3
3 * 5; // shows 15
1 - 2; // shows -1
```

While in a calculator the sign = means *"show the result"*, in JavaScript it means *"assign the result"*. You can use the = sign to hold some results to be reused later on.

var result = 3 * 7; result; // shows 21

If you want to compare two different values, you can use the triple equal operator ===

2 === 2; // true → of course, same number, it's identical to itself 2 === 3; // false → well, 2 is different from 3 so it cannot be the same

To verify that two values are different, you can use the "not" version.

2 !== 2; // false \rightarrow 2 is 2 so these cannot be different 2 !== 3; // true \rightarrow of course 2 is different from 3. It's 2!

The ! "not" operator can be used to negate some logical condition, by inverting its value. For instance, !true === false and vice-versa.

If you want to state that different values are in fact the same, you can use logical operators.

 $(4 + 5) == (5 + 4) \&\& (2 \times 3) == (3 \times 2); // true \rightarrow commutative property$

There is an entire section about these operations further in the book so let's keep focusing on terminology for now.

parenthesis

It is possible to group different sequences of operations via parenthesis. These become instantly more readable, making the developer's intent clear.

As an example, if one read an operation such as 2 * 3 + 4 one would think that the result is 10. Instead, you actually meant to multiply the sum of 3 and 4 by 2, and to make it clear to both your eyes and the JavaScript program interpreter, you should write 2 * (3 + 4), which drops all doubts about the result.

Often referenced as *parens* for short, parenthesis are also used as part of functions syntax, which is described later on.

brackets

In JavaScript there are curly brackets {}, or *braces* for short, and square brackets []. These can be used to create objects and, in the square brackets case, also to access their values.

references

A reference is like a symbolic link that points to a specific value. Think of a selfie, the photo can be considered as a reference to a generic person: you don't need to have this person present in the room to see what she looks like or what she is doing, the photo is enough.

variables

A variable is usually a meaningful name used to reference a generic value. Back to the example of the selfie, var myPhoto = new Selfie(); creates a reference to my selfie whose name is myPhoto. I can keep it for me, send it around as a postcard, receive other selfies from other people, and reassign its value at any time.

```
// create a variable that references my selfie
var myAvatar = new Selfie();
// ... 5 years later ...
// save the old picture
// by using a new variable name
var oldMe = myAvatar;
// then shoot a new selfie
// simply reassigning it to the same variable
```

```
myAvatar = new Selfie();
```

A common JavaScript convention for variable names is var camelCase = any-Value; starting always with the lower case, and eventually putting together multiple words through uppercase letters.

If the composite variable name contains single characters or acronyms in it, it is OK to put together multiple uppercase letters.

```
// common naming conventions examples
var thisIsAGoodNameForAVariable = anyValue;
var result = loadSDK();
object.genericProperty = genericValue;
```

constants

A constant is like a variable but can only be created, and assigned, once. In modern JavaScript engines, it can be explicitly created through the keyword const.

```
// instead of var MY_CONSTANT = 'staticValue';
const MY_CONSTANT = 'staticValue';
// it does not change its value
MY_CONSTANT = 'nope';
MY CONSTANT; // shows "staticValue"
```

Their common naming convention in most popular programming languages is the following: EVERYTHING_IN_UPPER_CASE_WITH_UNDERSCORE_BETWEEN_WORDS

These kind of variables are frequently, but not exclusively, used to describe data which do not ever change and have a fixed value (usually well known), such as the force of gravity or the temperature at which water boils (see the example below).

```
if (room.temperature >= WATER_TEMPERATURE_RIGHT_BEFORE_STEAM) {
   console.log('too hot');
} else if (room.temperature <= WATER_TEMPERATURE_RIGHT_BEFORE_ICE) {
   console.log('too cold');
}</pre>
```

types

When a variable that references a value is created, it can be assumed that this value has a type. Back to the var myPhoto = new Selfie(); example, you could say that the type of the variable myPhoto would be "photo", which describes more or less what one can do with it: look at it, share it, trash it, draw creepy things on top of it via some photo editor, etc. In JavaScript the "photo" type doesn't exist, but there are few others you can consider.

To obtain the type of a generic reference you can write: typeof myReferencedVar

boolean represented by two possible values: true and false.

number represented by integers such as 0, 1, 2, -3 or floats like 0.9, 1.2, -11.7 and a few special cases such as Infinity and NaN *

* NaN means "Not a Number", but how could a number be considered not a number? Think about the result of 0/0

string represented by anything within single or double quotes: 'I am a string' and "so am I" are indeed both valid strings. Most modern JavaScript engines support also backtick enclosed strings such as `this one` which is also a string but with some special powers.

undefined represented by the absence of a value. By default, there is a global reference to such absence named undefined. Its absent value is the exact equivalent of a variable declaration that does not reference to any value:

```
var noValYet;
console.log(noValYet === undefined); // shows true
```

Please bear in mind that JavaScript has a value that explicitly represents nothing. Such value is actually a reserved, constant-like, keyword named null.

The null value is also the implicit root of every JS object and its type is "object".

object represented mostly by curly {} or square [] brackets. Objects are containers for *key/value* pairs (also described as properties where each key would be a property name and each value would be a property value). When it comes to square brackets [], the object can also be called *Array* and its property names are commonly represented by integer indexes (indices).

```
// create two variables, one Object and one Array
var obj = {aKey: 'a value', bKey: 123};// typeof obj === 'object'
var arr = ['some value', 456]; // typeof arr === 'object'
// read an Object property
console.log(obj.aKey); // 'a value'
// read an Array element by its index
console.log(arr[0]); // 'some value'
```

function represented by function name(a,b) { return; } syntax, or in modern engines by ()=>{}. Functions are special objects that can be "invoked".

symbol only in modern JS engines, it can be used as special "object" property.

invoke

In JavaScript, this term is related to a specific operation: executing any sort of function reference using parenthesis:

```
// declaring a function
function tellMeSomething() {
   console.log('something');
}
// tellMeSomething is the reference name
// of the function we have just declared
tellMeSomething; // nothing happens (just shows the function itself)
// to "execute" this function
// we need to invoke it using parenthesis
tellMeSomething(); // logs 'something'
```

If you look carefully, you can see that even the operation console.log('something'); is invoking a function. However, in such a case the log function is invoked through a console object reference instead of directly, and it's accessed using a *"dot notation"*.

Every time a function is invoked after a dot, that function can be considered a *"method"*.

function declaration VS function expression

There are a few ways to define a function and each might be more or less convenient.

A function declaration, for example, creates a reference available everywhere within the *"scope"* it has been declared, even if invoked before.

```
// invoke it even before it's declared
readyJack(); // works as expected
function readyJack() {
   console.log('I was born ready');
}
```

This can help you organize code in separate blocks. But it can also be confusing if there are many declarations in various different parts. Since it's a good practice to declare all needed variables together, function expressions might be a better fit.

```
var name = 'Jack';
// function expression referenced via readyJack variable
var readyJack = function () {
   console.log(name + ' was just an expression');
};
```

Just like every single variable declaration should end with a semicolon, when assigned to a variable function, expressions should also end with a semicolon.

Semicolons are not needed when it comes to function declarations, as shown in the previous example.

Remember: function expressions cannot be executed before they are defined.

named function expressions

To simplify our own debugging, it's a good practice to give functions a name, even if there is a function expression and a reference to it.

```
var anonymous = function () {};
var named = function helloThere() {};
console.log(anonymous.name); // empty string
console.log(named.name); // logs 'helloThere'
```

It must be said that modern debuggers are smart enough to tell the reference name anyway, but it's good to know that you can create function expressions with a name at runtime.

This is particularly handy when you want to immediately invoke the function itself $\ensuremath{^*}$

* procedure also known as IIFE: Immediately Invoked Function Expression

```
(function areWeThereYet(tenSteps) {
    if (tenSteps === 0) {
        console.log('finally arrived');
    } else {
        console.log('yet another step');
        // use the function name to invoke itself
        // passing the decreased count
        areWeThereYet(tenSteps - 1);
    }
}(10)); // the count in this case starts at 10
```

scope

This term indicates the boundaries in which the code is executed. Think of it as the Universe is every galaxy's scope, the Milky Way is our solar system's scope, the solar system is planet Earth's scope, planet Earth is all continents' scope, and for each continent we are going to have countries, regions, cities, councils and finally your own house, which is your own scope while you are inside it, while the rest of the city will be your scope when you get out.

In JavaScript, by default there is a global scope in which you can define functions and variables. However, back to the house metaphor, you don't want each neighbour to know about every single thing you do in your place: your home is your place, and functions, in JavaScript, are the way to define your very own place without interfering, or being disturbed, by everything that happens in the middle of the street, or anywhere else, including other houses.

```
// global scope starts before JS code is executed (it's already there)
// function `myHouse` scope starts here
function myHouse(sco, ped, args) {
    // defining a variable inside `myHouse` makes it available only here
    var myMess = 'my own room';
} // function `myHouse` scope ends here
typeof myHouse; // is the 'function' `myHouse`
```

typeof myMess; // is 'undefined' because it's unknown in this scope

private and nested scope

Since it is possible to create functions inside other functions, it is also possible to have a private scope within a function that is already private, like a room within the house.

To create a private scope, we can use the previously seen *IIFE* approach without naming our function expression.

```
// anonymous IIFE
(function () {
    var myPrivateScope = 'a string value';
    console.log(typeof myPrivateScope); // 'string'
}());
console.log(typeof myPrivateScope); // 'undefined'
```

By having an anonymous function expression that invokes itself, you can be absolutely sure that nobody outside that function can be able to interfere with your code. Moreover, you can define other functions in it, and create nested scopes.

```
(function (){
  var who = 'I am ';
  var nestedExpression = function () { // nested function expression scope
    var nested = who + 'expression';
    return nested;
  };
  function nestedDeclaration() { // nested function declaration scope
    var nested = who + 'declaration';
    return nested;
  }
  console.log(nestedExpression()); // 'I am an expression'
  console.log(nestedDeclaration()); // 'I am a declaration'
}());
```

It is important to remember that nested scopes can always access their outer scope variables or references but never vice-versa. This is why it is possible, for both function expression and declaration in the previous example, to use the who reference while executing. However, it wouldn't be possible for the private outer scope to reach the nested variable defined inside each nested function: these are reachable only via their own function scope. The reason we want to use private scopes when defining our own variables, and the reason we create functions at all, is to prevent conflicts with any other variable name that could possibly be already present in our application. It is also a way to automatically clean up or free memory once we have completed the task the function is supposed to solve.

context

Every time a function is invoked, it is possible to reference its current execution context through the automatically available this reference, which has one of the following values:

- the global program context, called window in browsers and global on server
- the generic obj object that invoked the function through the dot notation:
 obj.method()
- an arbitrary explicit value, including undefined, when invoked via function methods like .call or .apply

global context

If you open your browser console, or start node js, you can inspect the global context writing console.log(this); or simply this.

Whenever you define a variable or declare a function globally, you are also able to reference these variables through the global context, since it is just like an object.

```
// create a variable directly in the global scope
var globallyDefinedVariable = 'can everyone access me?';
// it's accessible through the global object
console.log(this.globallyDefinedVariable);
// the same when you create a function
function globallyDeclaredFunction() {
  return globallyDefinedVariable;
}
```

```
console.log(globallyDeclaredFunction());
```

It is also possible to define global variables inside a generic function by accident, by simply omitting the var keyword before assigning a value to a reference.

```
console.log(typeof a); // 'undefined'
function myScope() {
    a = 123; // instead of var a = 123;
}
myScope();
console.log(typeof a); // 'number'
console.log(a); // 123
```

To prevent accidental global scope and context pollution, the 5th version of the ECMAScript specification (the standard that defines JavaScript semantics, syntax and behavior) introduces a special "use strict"; directive.

This string, if placed at the beginning of a function, will guard the global context and switch into a more strict behavior. If you try the previous code again, you will see an Error instead of the number 123.

```
console.log(typeof a); // 'undefined'
function myScope() {'use strict'; // switch local scope to strict mode
    a = 123;
}
myScope(); // shows an Error
console.log(typeof a); // still 'undefined'
```

Not only it's impossible to define variables in the global scope by accident, but when this directive is used, the execution context will also be undefined, unless explicitly provided.

```
// defined in the global scope
function whoIsThis() {'use strict'; return this;}
whoIsThis(); // undefined
this.whoIsThis(); // the global context
```

method context

In JavaScript objects can have properties of any kind and functions are no exception.

```
// create an empty object
var obj = {};
// define a property as function expression
obj.somePropertyName = function () {
   return this;
};
// what would be that returned context value?
// let's figure it out by invoking obj.somePropertyName
obj.somePropertyName(); // exactly the obj itself
```

When a function is invoked through an object, it implicitly uses this object as its current execution context. It is important to remember that any function can be attached to any object at any time, even if it is not directly defined as a property of that object.

```
// generic function defined here or somewhere else
function sayTheName() { console.log(this.name); }
// generic object
var me = {};
// with a name property and 'Andrea' as value
me.name = 'Andrea';
// function sayTheName attached as 'whoAmI' property
me.whoAmI = sayTheName;
// so we can invoke it as method
me.whoAmI(); // 'Andrea'
```

Even though it is not such a good idea to attach methods at runtime, the fact that we can borrow functions of any kind and use them as methods in different objects is nonetheless an amazing feature. *"Why would we need that"* is more than a legit question, and the answer is that, for example, each collection of items does not necessarily have all methods like a regular Array. Online it is indeed possible to find some code examples similar to the following:

```
// COUNTEREXAMPLE
var allNodes = document.querySelectorAll('*');
// borrow the forEach method from an empty Array
allNodes.forEach = [].forEach;
// iterate over all nodes using the method
allNodes.forEach(function (node) {
    console.log(node.nodeName);
});
```

As already mentioned, modifying objects one didn't create is a very dirty approach, even if it is possible. As an example, if we take someone for a ride in our shiny new car, we don't want them to leave food crumbs and dirt all around. It wasn't there when they got in, why should it be now!

Good news: there are better and cleaner ways to invoke a function by providing a context.

invoking a function via call or apply

As described in the *types* paragraph, functions are special objects that can be executed. Just like any object, functions can also have properties, hence methods.

The most commonly used methods of any function are .call() and .apply(), which only differ in that while .call accepts an arbitrary amount of arguments to pass along, .apply accepts only an Array to be used as invocation arguments.

```
// three functions that log some information
function fnWithNoArguments() { console.log('nothing to do here'); }
function fnWithOneArgument(first) { console.log('received', first); }
function fnWithTwoArguments(a, b) { console.log('received', a, b); }
// using call and ignoring the first context value for now, using nullW
fnWithNoArguments.call(null);
fnWithOneArgument.call(null, 'some value');
fnWithTwoArguments.call(null, 'first value', 'second value');
// to use `apply`, you need one or more Arrays
var emptyArray = [];
var arrayWithOneArgument = ['any value'];
var arrayWithTwoArguments = ['1st', '2nd'];
// same result as you logged already via .call
fnWithNoArguments.apply(null, emptyArray);
fnWithOneArgument.apply(null, arrayWithOneArgument);
fnWithTwoArguments.apply(null, arrayWithTwoArguments);
```

Tip to remember the difference between .call and .apply: the word "apply" starts with "a", and so does Array ;-)

explicit context

Now that the difference between these two methods is clear, it's time to understand what's powerful about them.

Do you remember the collection in the previous page?

```
var allNodes = document.querySelectorAll('*');
// a dirty and not always possible approach
// allNodes.forEach = [].forEach;
// this is one way to borrow and invoke the Array forEach method
[].forEach.call(allNodes, function (node) {
    console.log(node.nodeName);
});
```



Invoke the following function through its .call method passing, as first context argument, any sort of value that will be returned as this.

```
function whoIsIt() {'use strict'; return this;}
whoIsIt.call(null);
whoIsIt.call(123);
whoIsIt.call(['a', 'b', 'c']);
```

arguments

Every time a function is invoked, it might receive from zero to many arguments, each of which is also the name of the special object that gets created each time a function is executed.

```
function contextAndThreeArguments(a, b, c) {
   console.log(this, a, b, c);
}
var me = {name: 'Andrea'};
contextAndThreeArguments.call(me, 1, 2, 3);
```

Not only the object me will be the execution context, you'll also log in the console three different arguments: 1, 2, and 3.

The fact that you have named your arguments a, b, and c, means that you expect a maximum of 3 of them and no more. But what if you don't know upfront how many arguments you expect?

```
function contextAndArguments() { // no specific amount of args expected
  console.log(this, arguments);
}
var me = {name: 'Andrea'};
contextAndArguments.call(me, 1, 2, 3);
contextAndArguments.call(me, 4);
contextAndArguments.call(me, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10);
```

The output in the console will be quite different this time. You will see a list of values enclosed in square brackets such as [1, 2, 3] and [4] or [5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10], which represent the collection of items the current arguments object contains for each different function execution. Please note that arguments is not actually an Array, even if its structure looks similar: you access its properties using integers and it has a length property.

```
function argumentsVSArray() {
```

```
// almost every object has a toString method
// it usually describes what kind of object you are dealing with
console.log(arguments.toString());
// you create a collection of 3 elements
var arr = [
    arguments[0], // access arguments value at property '0'
    arguments[1], // access arguments value at property '1'
    arguments[2] // access arguments value at property '2'
];
// Array has a special toString method that joins all elements instead
console.log(arr.toString());
}
argumentsVSArray('a', 'b', 'c');
```

As arguments is not an Array, you see the string '[object Arguments]' instead of ['a', 'b', 'c'].

In this example you access all indexes manually, but what if there were more than 3 arguments?

Array and generic collections iteration

When talking about iteration we describe the process that accesses every property, hence every value, of a generic object. If we are dealing with an Array or a generic list, such process is represented by a loop that accesses every index between 0, and the length of the collection itself, which is available through the special property .length

```
var list = ['a', 'b', 'c'];
var index = 0;
var sizeOfTheGenericList = list.length;
// a while loop executes what's inside its curly brackets
// until a condition is satisfied
// while (condition) { do something and update the condition }
// in this case the condition is:
// "the `index` is less than `sizeOfTheGenericList`"
while (index < sizeOfTheGenericList) {
    console.log(list[index]);
    // update the index so that we can get out of the while loop
    // whenever index is no longer less than `sizeOfTheGenericList`
    index = index + 1;
}
```

The very first time the condition is satisfied, the index variable has the value 0 which is less than the sizeOfTheGenericList, whose value is 3, since there are 3 items in the list.

In the console you see the letter 'a', then you increment the index by 1, and you check the condition again. At this point index has the value 1, which is still less than 3. Then you need to log the value at index 1, which this time shows the letter 'b' and then you need to do the same again for the index 2 and the letter 'c'. After that, the condition is no longer satisfied so whatever is inside the loop won't execute anymore.

for loop

Similarly to the previous while(condition) {...} example, the for loop also executes until its condition is no longer satisfied. However, its logical order is slightly different and described as such:

```
( please note the following is not valid JavaScript code, just a textual description )
```

Taking into consideration the previous while loop as an example, you can do exactly the same process within the following for loop:

```
for (var index = 0, size = list.length; index < size; index = index + 1) {
   console.log(list[index]);
}</pre>
```

It is very important to note that if the condition is not satisfied, neither the 3rd nor the 4th part of the for loop is executed.

```
// empty list, its length is 0
var list = [];
for (var
    i = 0;
    i < list.length; // the condition is never satisfied
    i = i + 1 // it won't happen if the condition exited the loop
) {
    console.log(i);
}
console.log(i); // 0 because the 4th part (i = i + 1) never happened</pre>
```

As soon as you put at least one item in the list, the condition is satisfied (at least once) and you'll see that the value of i after the loop is 1 instead of 0.

incremental ++ operator

It is very common to increment integers using a ++ sign instead of reassigning the variable to itself adding 1. Accordingly, the following example is how a for loop usually looks like:

```
for (var i = 0; i < collection.length; i++) {
    // do something with collection[i];
}</pre>
```

The ++ operator can be used both before or after a variable. In both cases the variable is incremented, but its immediately returned value is quite different.

```
// using ++ as suffix (a.k.a. post-increment)
var num = 0;
var numPlusPlus = num++;
console.log(numPlusPlus); // 0, when assigned num wasn't incremented yet
console.log(num); // 1, because after num++ it got incremented
// using ++ as prefix (a.k.a. pre-increment)
var num = 0;
var plusPlusNum = ++num;
console.log(plusPlusNum); // 1, when assigned, num was already incremented
console.log(num); // 1, ++num incremented num and returned it as 1
```

There is no specific reason to prefer one way or the other, and while num++ is usually preferred, ++num could also be used as an example to loop over a collection with at least 2 items:

```
var i = 0;
while (++i < list.length) { compare(list[i-1], list[i]); }</pre>
```

Decreasing an integer by 1 (decrementing) is also possible using the -- operator, simulating the operation num = num - 1.

Everything already mentioned for the ++ operator, including the differences when used as prefix or as suffix, equally applies for the -- decremental operator.

Array methods

In JavaScript, every array comes with some special methods, used to change, copy, update, or iterate its items. Not only methods look somehow cleaner than loops, but it's common to borrow them to iterate over any sort of collection, arguments included.

array.forEach(callback, context)

This is probably the most *"as close as possible to a loop"* arrays' method, and it works like this:

```
['a', 'b', 'c'].forEach(function (value, index, array) {
  // the function will be called array.length times
  // which in the ['a', 'b', 'c'] list case means three times
  console.log(index, value);
  // 0, 'a'
  // 1, 'b'
  // 2, 'c'
});
```

The anonymous function is invoked three times, receiving those arguments by default.

Let's reconstruct what happens in a forEach using the good old loop syntax.

```
for (var
  list = ['a', 'b', 'c'],
  context, // unless specified, the forEach context is undefined
  callback = function (value, index, array) {
    console.log(index, value);
  },
  i = 0;
  i < list.length;
  i++
) {
  // log same sequence previously logged via forEach
  callback.call(context, list[i], i, list);
}
```

The context is a feature that is rarely used, but very handy in some cases. As there are collections that might not have a forEach method, you can borrow its iteration capability.

```
// the current user on a generic social page
var user = {
   name: 'Andrea',
   // a method to write the user name in a generic DOM element
   writeNameInElement: function (element) {
      // expect `this` to be the user object
      element.textContent = this.name;
   }
};
// find all nodes that should show the user name
var nodes = document.querySelectorAll('.user-name');
// iterate over nodes invoking user.writeNameInElement and
// pass `user` as `forEach` iteration context
[].forEach.call(nodes, user.writeNameInElement, user);
```

array.map(callback, context) → newArray

If instead you want to create a new array based on some value found during the iteration, .map() is the right way to go. Its usage is basically the same as for the forEach one, but its invoked function should return some value for each iterated index.

```
var single = [1, 2, 3];
var doubled = single.map(function (num, i, arr) {
   return num + num; // returning a new value for index i
});
console.log(single); // [1, 2, 3]
console.log(doubled); // [2, 4, 6]
```

The main use case for map is to create a new list of items after somehow extracting, changing, or transforming the initial values of a collection.

```
var people = [{name: 'Andrea'}, {name: 'Robert'}];
var allNames = people.map(function (obj) { return obj.name; });
console.log(allNames); // 'Andrea', 'Robert'
```

array.filter(callback, context) → newArray

In case you want to work only with items that satisfy a specific condition, you can use the filter method which creates a new array containing only those items that pass the check.

```
var smallerThan10 = [1, 5, 8, 12, 16].filter(function (num) {
    return num < 10;
});
console.log(smallerThan10); // [1, 5, 8]</pre>
```

One should never confuse the meaning of the returned value in .map() with the one returned in .filter(), because while the former will use as value for that index whatever value you decide to return, including undefined in case no value is specified, .filter() returned value will be just the condition indicating if the value at the current index should be kept or not in the new array.

array.some(callback, context) \rightarrow boolean

You now know how to iterate, how to transform and how to filter; but how do you look for a specific condition inside a collection?

Well, in this case .some() is your best friend as it stops iterating as soon as the returned value satisfies the condition.

```
var people = [{name: 'Andrea'}, {name: 'Robert'}];
function isThereAny(name) {
   return people.some(function (user) {
     // as soon as this is true it will stop iterating
     return user.name === name;
   });
}
console.log(isThereAny('Andrea')); // true
console.log(isThereAny('Jack')); // false
```

array.every(callback, context) \rightarrow boolean

To the contrary of .some(), the following method stops iterating as soon as the condition is not satisfied:

```
var people = [{name: 'Andrea', age: 37}, {name: 'Robert', age: 17}];
function canEveryoneDrink() {
  return people.every(function (person) {
    return person.age >= 18;
  });
}
console.log(canEveryoneDrink()); // false, Robert is too young
```

array.indexOf(value, fromIndex) → number

As its name suggests, .indexOf finds the index, if any, that holds a specific value within an array.

```
var alphabet = ['a', 'b', 'c', 'd', '...', 'z'];
var index = alphabet.indexOf('c'); // index === 2
alphabet[index]; // 'c'
// if not found, returns -1
alphabet.indexOf(123); // -1
```

In the latest specifications, array.includes(value, fromIndex) returns a boolean indicating the value is present or not.

There are actually dozens of array methods. All of them are described with many examples and uses in the *Mozilla Developer Network*, under the section Array.prototype¹, which is the object containing all methods and behaviors inherited by every array.

¹ https://developer.mozilla.org/en/docs/Web/JavaScript/Reference/Global_Objects/Array/prototype

prototype and prototypal inheritance

When a new variable has the value of an object, an array, or a function, it comes by default with methods that nobody explicitly assigned: these are already part of the core functionality; they are properties, and methods, inherited from another object.

There are two mechanisms to inherit from other objects: via direct link or via special functions used to initialize objects and setup their inheritance.

The first direct link way to explicitly inherit properties between objects, is the following:

```
// generic object referenced as a `person`
// it has two properties: `name` and `age`
// and a method in charge of increasing the age
var person = {
 name: 'anonymous',
 age: 0,
 birthday: function () {
   this.age++;
 }
};
// this is just me, inheriting
// properties and methods
// from the `person` object
// using a method of the globally always available
// `Object` "constructor" (described later on)
var me = Object.create(person);
me.name = 'Andrea';
                  // {name: 'Andrea'}
console.log(me);
console.log(person); // {name: 'anonymous', age: 0}
```

Usually the browser console will only show objects "own" properties, ignoring the inherited ones.

That is why logging the variable me, you see only the name property, even if there's more in there.

genericA.isPrototypeOf(genericB) → boolean

In JavaScript, one of the methods available by default is .isPrototypeOf(), which reveals possible inheritance information between two objects.

If you consider the previous variables person and me as an example, you can assume the result of the person.isPrototypeOf(me) invocation will be true.

But what does it mean, exactly?

```
// how does the console show me?
console.log(me);
                  // {name: 'Andrea'}
// but does me have an `age` too?
                      // 0 \rightarrow Yes, `age` is inherited from `person`
me.age;
// and does me have a `birthday` method too?
typeof me.birthday; // 'function' → Yes, inherited from `person`
// what happens if we invoke the `birthday` as method of `me`?
me.birthday();
// how did `birthday` invocation affect me?
console.log(me);
                     // {name: "Andrea", age: 1}
                      11
                            and suddenly, ▲ "the wild age appears"
// did me birthday affect person one?
console.log(person); // {name: "anonymous", age: 0} → Nope, all good
```

A little recap of the method context chapter: when we invoke a function through the dot notation from a generic object, that object will temporarily become the execution context of such function.

This does not mean that both person and me have a birthday method, but it means that me will temporarily execute person.birthday as if it was its own method.

```
// `root` is an object with a method that
// simply returns the current execution context
var root = {method: function () {
   return this;
}};
// `child` is an object that inherits from `root`
var child = Object.create(root);
// if you execute `root.method` via `root` it will return `root` itself
// since it's the implicit execution context
console.log(root.method() === root); // true → because `this` is `root` here
// but when you invoke the inherited method through the `child` reference
// it returns the current execution context which is `child`, not `root`
console.log(child.method() === child);// true → since `this` is now `child`
```

```
// and that is because we are implicitly doing the following:
root.method.call(child); // which will use `child` as `this` indeed
```

Once you understand that a method does not need to be directly attached or assigned as an object property, and that it can be simply inherited, what the prototypal inheritance is about becomes clearer: it is an implicit, *"invisible"*, chain between different objects.

Object.prototype

By default, every JavaScript object inherits properties from the Object.prototype, which is also simply an object, in fact the one that provides basic methods to all the others.

```
// create an empty object
var justEmpty = {};
// can this object be represented as a string?
console.log(justEmpty.toString()); // '[object Object]'
// but who put that `toString` method into your empty object?
console.log(justEmpty.toString === Object.prototype.toString); // true
// is that because there is a prototypal chain between these two objects?
console.log(Object.prototype.isPrototypeOf(justEmpty)); // true
```

Since every object has in its root a .toString() method, you might think it will behave in the same way, regardless of which variable you use, right?

Well, no. Inheriting some property or method doesn't prevent possible "overrides", therefore a method could have been redefined in the middle of some inheritance chain.

For instance Array.prototype also inherits from Object.prototype, and you could always perform an array.isPrototypeOf(anotherObject) check. But the toString method is not the inherited one. It's redefined to return its comma-separated values that are also "converted" into a string.

```
// create a generic Array containing 3 numbers
var arr = [7, 11, 2];
// the arr.toString method is inherited by Array.prototype
// and not by Object.prototype. The following check is indeed false
console.log(arr.toString === Object.prototype.toString); // false
// but other methods are inherited from the root
console.log(arr.isPrototypeOf === Object.prototype.isPrototypeOf); // true
```

```
// how different is it?
console.log(arr.toString()); // it's the string '[7,11,2]'
```

$object.toString() \rightarrow string$

Being at the root of the prototypal chain has some advantages. For instance, even if array inherits a different toString method, you can temporarily borrow the method at the root and see how different the result is.

```
var arr = ['a', 'b', 'c', 'd'];
console.log(
   // the inherited method is the one from Array.prototype,
   Array.prototype.toString.call(arr), // 'a,b,c,d'
   // which is indeed the equivalent of the following operation
   arr.toString(), // 'a,b,c,d'
   // but Array.prototype inherits from Object.prototype
   // and what happens when you use the original method instead?
   Object.prototype.toString.call(arr) // '[object Array]'
);
```

The Object.prototype.toString method is a very special one: it can tell you if you are dealing with some generic object or a "native" one.
As in the previous example, using an array as Object.prototype.toString execution context will return the string '[object Array]', very differently compared to '[object Object]' which is the default string returned for every object in our program.

native

In JavaScript, this term means all variables, objects, functions, methods, classes or constructors that have been provided by the environment and not by a library. Objects derived from native classes in JavaScript expose their *"class"* name once they are used as context of the Object.prototype.toString method.

```
// being lazy and for demo purposes
// let's reference the method directly
var toString = Object.prototype.toString;
// so that it is possible to easily invoke it multiple times
console.log(
 toString.call({}),
                                       // '[object Object]'
 toString.call([]),
                                       // '[object Array]'
 toString.call(''),
                                       // '[object String]'
                                      // '[object Number]'
 toString.call(0),
                                      // '[object Boolean]'
 toString.call(true),
                                     // '[object Null]'
 toString.call(null),
 toString.call(undefined),
                                     // '[object Undefined]'
                                     // '[object Function]'
 toString.call(function () {}),
                                      // '[object JSON]'
 toString.call(JSON),
                           // '[object Math]'
 toString.call(Math),
 toString.call(new Date),
 toString.call(this.window || global) // '[object global]'
);
```

Historically, unlike many other cases, the Object.prototype.toString method has been implemented in a consistent and reliable way across platforms.

class

In most modern JavaScript implementations, class is a very specific keyword used to define the behavior of each object that will be created through its name.

Following there is an example of modern JS syntax *

* which might not work in older browsers or JS engines

```
// define a generic Rectangle behavior
class Rectangle {
 // this method will implicitly be invoked every time
 // a new Rectangle(w, h) is created
 constructor(width, height) {
   // the `this` invocation context will be
   // the freshly created new object
   // you assign the received arguments to use them later on
   this.width = width;
   this.height = height;
 } // ← note that a class definition doesn't need a comma between methods
 // the definition includes an `.area()` method
 // that will be inherited by each `new Rectangle`
 area() {
   // the execution context will be the `Rectangle` instance
   // that is invoking the area method. Every instance
   // will have `width` and `height` properties, assigned when created
    return this.width * this.height;
 }
} // note: no comma required here either
// a `Rectangle` instance example
var myDesk = new Rectangle(5, 3);
console.log(myDesk.area()); // 15 as 5 * 3
// another reference
var myTV = new Rectangle(16, 9);
console.log(myTV.area()); // 144
```

Whenever in JavaScript the special keyword new is used, the engine expects a class or a *"constructor"* right after new in order to create an object linked to the constructor's OWN prototype.

constructor

Whenever you declare a function, the engine will automatically provide its prototype object.

```
function justAFunction() { /* and it could do anything it wants */ }
console.log(
   // who put this object here?
   justAFunction.prototype, // {}
   // is that inherited from the global Function?
   justAFunction.prototype === Function.prototype // false
);
```

Generally speaking, every JavaScript function can be used to create objects linked to their own prototype, which is an object that contains only one property: the constructor

```
function linkMe() {} // simple function
// linkMe becomes special the moment we invoke it via new
var obj = new linkMe();
console.log(
 // we have created a prototypal chain
 linkMe.prototype.isPrototypeOf(obj),
                                                 // true
 // by default we also have inherited the constructor
 // which is nothing else but the function linkMe
 obj.constructor === linkMe,
                                                  // true
 // and such constructor is actually inherited
 // since it is indeed part of linkMe.prototype itself
 linkMe.prototype.constructor === linkMe
                                                 // true
);
```

Going back to the Object.create(fromAnotherObject) mechanism you saw previously, you can use functions to create new instances, as in the following operation: function Shape() {} // dummy function used only to inherit its prototype

```
// creating a chain using the Shape.prototype
var oneShape = Object.create(Shape.prototype);
// is the same as creating a new Shape
var anotherShape = new Shape();
console.log(
   Shape.prototype.isPrototypeOf(oneShape), // true
   Shape.prototype.isPrototypeOf(anotherShape) // true
);
```

The biggest difference between these two approaches, is that using new Shape() inevitably invokes the Shape function, implicitly using the fresh newly created instance as an execution context.

That in turn will, for example, give us the ability to do some setup in there.

```
// as a naming convention, classes are PascalCase (capital first letter)
function Person(name) {
   this.name = name;
}
var me = new Person('Andrea'); // the class way
// the equivalent and explicit way
var me = Object.create(Person.prototype); // the procedural way
// invoke the Person to setup me.name
// otherwise there won't be any name property at all
Person.call(me, 'Andrea');
```

In either case, the generic object created either via Person, or from its prototype, inherits a constructor property which is Person itself, like it was for the generic function.

To summarise: when we talk about a constructor in JavaScript, we refer to the special method that will implicitly initialize any instance as soon as it gets created via the new keyword.

instance

Whenever an object is created using new Constructor, it can be called an instance of that Constructor.

This helps us name expected behaviors as defined by classes, instead of referencing objects as linked to the prototype inherited via such constructor.

- Q : "What is myDesk?"
- A1: "it's a Rectangle instance"
- A2: "it's an object that inherits from Rectangle.prototype"

As you surely agree, it's more convenient to discuss references by using the term *instance*, and JS has a similar special keyword for that:

```
function Person(name) { this.name = name; }
var rob = new Person('Robert');
console.log(
   Person.prototype.isPrototypeOf(rob), // true
   rob instanceof Person // true → as equivalent check
);
```

inheritance

We have already mentioned the prototypal inheritance, but we haven't seen how it works in practice. Here is a basic textual example of the logic behind an object property access.



the in operator

If you want to know whether a generic property name is reachable through an object, you can use the in operator which inspects any inheritance chain.

```
var obj = {key: 'value'};
console.log(
  // is property 'key' reachable through `obj`?
  'key' in obj, // true
  // is also 'toString' reachable through `obj`?
  'toString' in obj // true → via `Object.prototype`
);
```

It is also very important to remember that knowing that an object can access a specific property through its prototypal chain, does not mean that this property contains any value.

As an example, even undefined can be considered a property of the global execution context.

```
var root = this.window || global;
console.log(
  // does the global context contain an undefined reference?
  'undefined' in root, // true
  // does it mean such property has a value?
  root.undefined // undefined → so, nope!
);
```

This is why it is common to see code online that checks both for property existence and a returned value, assuming that, if defined, it gives access to the expected value or method.

```
// one way to check the existence of properties via their "truthy" value
if (obj.hasSomeMethod) {
   obj.hasSomeMethod(some, value);
}
```

for/in loop

Having seen how to loop over arrays indexes, now we surely want to know how to loop over object properties. The for/in loop is the traditional way to do it, and here is how:

```
// yet another `me`
var me = {
  twitter: '@WebReflection',
  name: 'Andrea',
  age: 0
};
// loop all key/value pairs and log them
for (var key in obj) {
  console.log(key, obj[key]);
}
// twitter @WebReflection, name Andrea, age 0
```

enumerable

After all the considerations made about the inheritance and the fact that the in operator can easily inspect it, it might come as a surprise that the for/in loop does not show all properties and methods inherited from the Object.prototype.

```
var empty = {};
for(var k in empty) {
    console.log('why this never happens?'); // does not appear in the console
}
console.log('toString' in empty); // but this logs `true`
```

First of all, the in used to loop via for/in has a different meaning compared to prop in obj. Also, properties can be or not be enumerable. As a consequence, they might expose themselves in a for/in loop, or be simply skipped or ignored.

For example, every native JavaScript constructor such as Object, Array, String, or any other, has properties defined in their prototype as non-enumerable.

object.propertyIsEnumerable(name) → boolean

The Object.prototype provides a few handy methods and propertyIsEnumerable is one of them. It returns true if the property has been assigned, or configured as enumerable, otherwise it returns false. As already mentioned, native properties aren't enumerable.

If you loop over obj with a for/in loop, you'll see that 'prop' is revealed in there, but nothing else is.

object.hasOwnProperty(name) → boolean

How is it possible to tell whether a property (or method) has been inherited or not? The answer is in the method has0wnProperty, which returns true only if the property is an own one, directly attached, not inherited.

In case you are wondering why you need to know about all those methods, the answer is because prototypal inheritance can be both powerful and dangerous at the same time.

For instance, setting a property like obj.toString.prop = 'value'; will not simply affect the method toString of that obj reference, instead it will affect the universally inherited toString method, because that's what you access whenever you invoke a generic.toString() that hasn't been redefined.

shared properties

When talking about classes and prototypes in particular, but also when there is a private scope and some private reference, the term *"shared"* describes the fact that a method, an object, or a function, is used in many different places, for similar purposes, and via many objects.

The above example is one of the reasons modern JavaScript class syntax doesn't allow the definition of properties to be different from that of methods, with the only exception of "getters" and "setters", which are special kind of methods with "implicit invoke" capability.

Back to the shared data issue, whenever we want to setup some data property per each instance, the constructor is surely the best place to do it.

```
function NotSharedData() {
   this.data = {}; // ← the right place to create instances properties
}
var one = new NotSharedData;
var two = new NotSharedData;
one.data.test = 'one check, one check';
console.log(
   // did previous operation affect `two.data`?
   two.data.test, // undefined
   // is that because `one` and `two` are sharing the same data?
   one.data === two.data // false → each instance has a different data
);
```

For comparison sake, in a modern environment the above class would look as follows:

```
class NotSharedData {
  constructor() {
    this.data = {};
  }
}
```

getters and setters

If someone asks "what time is it?" we would have to check our watch, our phone, or "our meridian" before answering, because we don't keep constant track of time.

Metaphorically speaking, a similar situation happens every time we access the .length property of an array: it will count how many items it has at that moment and will return the count as integer. Also, in the same way as we can set the time on our watch, we can also set the .length of our array. But what happens in that case?

```
var arr = ['a', 'b', 'c'];
console.log(arr); // 'a,b,c'
// it counts its own items and returns 3
console.log(arr.length);
// what if we set the length?
arr.length = 2;
console.log(arr); // 'a,b' → we lost the value 'c' at index 3
console.log(arr.length); // 2 → and we definitively lost 'c'
arr.length = 5; // so even if we set the length again
console.log(arr); // 'a,b' or 'a,b,<3 empty slot>'
console.log(arr.length); // 5 → and indexes 2,3,4 are undefined
```

Getting or setting the length seems like a transparent operation. However, the truth is that behind the scenes there is definitively something else going on!

If you are familiar with web pages, you might know that there are properties like el.textContent or el.innerHTML (where el is any DOM element) that once modified could visibly affect the entire content of the page.

All these apparently "magic" properties and behaviors are possible thanks to getters and setters. They are not different from a generic method, but you don't need to explicitly invoke them.

```
var me = {
                                    // hi, it's me again ^ ^;
 name: 'Andrea',
 realAge: 37,
 birthday: function () { // I can grow up too
   this.realAge++;
   console.log('Happy birthday ' + this.name);
 },
 // getters and setters have a special syntax
 get age() { return this.realAge; }, // if you ask my age I'll tell you
 set age(newAge) {
                                    // but if you try to set it...
   console.warn('I am afraid I cannot change my age to ' + newAge);
 }
};
console.log(me.age);
                        // 37
me.age = 40;
                        // I am afraid I cannot change my age to 40
me.birthday();
                         // Happy birthday Andrea
                         // 38
console.log(me.age);
```

When it comes to modern classes, the syntax is very similar to the one just seen above.

```
class Person {
 constructor(name, age) {
   this.name = name;
   this.realAge = age;
 }
 birthday() {
   this.realAge++;
   console.log('Happy birthday ' + this.name);
 }
 get age() { return this.realAge; }
 set age(newAge) {
    console.warn('I am afraid I cannot change my age to ' + newAge);
 }
}
var me = new Person('Andrea', 37);
console.log(me.age); // 37
```

However, when using function prototypes, you need to use another utility whose aim is to define properties via an object, also known as a descriptor.

descriptors

In JavaScript, every property of any object could be described through the following characteristics:

- enumerable, that is the property is easily discoverable via for/in and other cases
- configurable, that is the property can be deleted or configured again

Accordingly, if you want to define the property as *accessor*, via getters and setters, or directly as value, handy for data or methods, you can also have the following characteristics:

- writable, indicating whether such property can be modified or not
- **value**, indicating the actual value to assign as property

Whenever you need to *access* the property via get and set, instead of the previous two characteristics you can use the following:

- get, which is the method implicitly invoked when a property is accessed
- set, which is the method implicitly invoked when a property is assigned

These two kind of descriptors are called *data* descriptor or *accessor* descriptor.

Data Descriptor		Accessor Descriptor	
	default		default
enumerable	false	enumerable	false
configurable	false	configurable	false
writable	false	get	function () {}
value	undefined	set	function (value) {}

It's important to remember that those two kind of descriptors and their different properties are not interchangeable. When we define get or set we cannot define the writable property, neither the value. The getter function will return the expected value while the setter could update it or even "throw" an Error, whenever convenient, to explicitly make it non writable.

Object.defineProperty(obj, name, descriptor) → obj

Now that you know pretty much everything about descriptors, it's time to understand how they can be used.

Here is an example of the "public" method Object.defineProperty:

```
var square = {size: 8};
Object.defineProperty(square, 'area', {
 configurable: true,
                                 // you can delete it later on
 qet: function () {
    return this.size * this.size; // it's calculated each time
 },
 set: function () {
                                 // it will not be allowed
   throw new Error(
      'One does not simply change a square area'
    );
 }
});
// read the area, it invokes the descriptor `get` as method
console.log(square.area);
                           // 64
// change square size
square.size = 4;
// read it again
                                 // 16
console.log(square.area);
// try to set the area directly
square.area = 32;
                                 // red alerts in console!
```

And how can we create a square that cannot ever change its size value?

```
var fixedSquare = Object.defineProperty({}, 'size', {
    enumerable: true, // explicitly enumerable to simulate {size: 8}
    writable: false, // explicitly non writable (false by default)
    value: 8 // initial, non writable, size value
});
console.log(fixedSquare.size); // 8
// even if we try to change its value
fixedSquare.size = 16;
console.log(fixedSquare.size); // 8
```

When it comes to properties that shouldn't be writable or configurable, a setter can surely provide a better mechanism to inform the program that something is wrong. Using a data descriptor will indeed fail, but expecting a new property to change its value while it doesn't might lead to unexpected logical results.

try catch finally

Whenever a program is executed, there are many kinds of errors that could happen. Some errors might be expected, some errors might be fatal. Most known fatal errors in Computers history are the *"guru meditation"* and the *"blue screen of death"* as they appeared in good old Commodore Amiga or Windows Operating Systems.

We definitely don't need to try and replicate a fatal error, especially because we actually hope that it will never occur. What we can do though, is to try and prevent those errors that will interrupt our application and exit without having any idea of what happened.

The try/catch/finally statement gives us a way to deal with errors we might expect to happen, a way to also generate *Errors*, expecting somebody else to react accordingly.

```
// checking if a possibly non existant reference can be invoked
try {
 iAintEvenDefined();
} catch(errorObject) {
 console.warn(errorObject.message);
}
// note that finally is optional
// creating a property that throws an error
var me = Object.defineProperty({}, 'name', {
 enumerable: true,
 get: function () { return 'Andrea'; },
 set: function () {
   throw new Error('I already have a name');
 } // throw is the keyword used to inform the program about an Error
});
                                    // Andrea
console.log(me.name);
try { me.name = 'Robert'; }
catch (whatHappened) { console.warn(whatHappened); }
finally {
 console.log('Hello ' + me.name); // 'Hello Andrea'
}
```

The excessive use of these mechanisms is rarely a good idea. Having too many try/catch statements around, doesn't necessarily mean the code is more

secure or immune to errors. On the contrary it might indicate we have no control, or even worst no idea at all, of how many things could possibly go wrong. There are better approaches to control our application, and on top of that, try/catch statements might also slow down the execution of the code considerably. As a summary: use this feature carefully, only when necessary, and applying common sense.



Error objects have a .message property which is a *string* containing the info passed when the new Error("info") object was created.

which descriptor for what

Having various ways to define a property can be both handy and disorienting. There are so many glitches to talk about when it come to descriptors, but it's best to stick to common conventions available in the JavaScript core.

For instance, every generic object property assignment will be a *writable*, *configurable*, and *enumerable* descriptor, whose *value* would be exactly the one provided.

common property descriptor

```
var me = {name: 'Andrea'};
me.age = 37;
```

Both name and age properties, will have similar descriptors. Below you can see the name descriptor:

```
// representation of the me['name'] property descriptor
({
   configurable: true,
   enumerable: true,
   writable: true,
   value: 'Andrea'
})
```

Whenever you want to set a generic property like name or age, it really makes little sense to use the tedious Object.defineProperty approach. Specially because all defaults configuration are false, not true, and there's no need to type that much!

common class and native method descriptor

While data properties, such as a name or an age, are usually those iterated, collected, or manipulated, it is rarely convenient to have methods in our way. Especially when instances are used, where all methods are inherited, you don't really want them to show up in your for/in loop. It's not necessary to know that every object will have a toString.

Accordingly, all native methods available in JavaScript can be described as follows:

```
// representation of the native Object.prototype['toString'] descriptor
({
    configurable: true,
    enumerable: false, // ← to never show up in for/in loops
    writable: true,
    value: function toString() { [native code] }
})
```

It is actually lucky that native descriptors are configurable and writable, as it means that they can be reassigned and fixed, whenever necessary.

common defensive method descriptor

If we are creating a class for our own purposes, we probably want to ensure that its methods cannot possibly be modified elsewhere, during the application execution.

In these cases we might want to set methods directly in the prototype as follows:

```
// define a property method directly in the class or function prototype
Object.defineProperty(Constructor.prototype, 'method', {
    // defaults → enumerable: false, configurable: false, writable: false
    // it won't be possible to patch or change this method later on
    value: function () { /*...*/ }
});
```

common lazy property descriptor

When we talk about lazy properties, we refer to those properties that will have an expected value only after the first time we need them. Imagine we are going to buy a car. By default it comes with many features (properties), but we can ask for even more properties. On paper, the car has a USB port to connect our devices, but it will be configured like that only if we ask for it, not by default.

```
class CarOrder {
  constructor(chosenColor) {
    // color is an own property set during car creation time
    this.color = chosenColor;
  }
  // usbConnector as lazy property
  aet usbConnector() {
    // it is configured on demand the first time
    Object.defineProperty(
      this,
      'usbConnector',
      {
        configurable: true,
        value: {usb: 'connected'}
      }
    );
    // returning the new usbConnector
    // will not pass through the class getter
    // because now it is an own property
    return this.usbConnector:
 }
}
```

The reason we need to use Object.defineProperty to configure a lazy descriptor is that if we try to set this.usbConnector = {} directly, we'll inevitably end up invoking the inherited setter already defined in the CarOrder class prototype. Moreover, if we want to be able to change the port in the future, we should grant the possibility to remove this connector or replace it, which is why we've set it as *configurable*.

Object.getOwnPropertyDescriptor(obj, name) → **desc**

The last important information about descriptors is that not only you can set them, but you can always retrieve them too. Let's try to play around with this method, exploring, or validating everything we've learned so far. Have a closer look at the <code>Object.prototype</code>:

```
// some inspection of the most indirectly accessed JavaScript class
console.log(
    Object.getOwnPropertyDescriptor(Object, 'prototype'),
    Object.getOwnPropertyDescriptor(Object.prototype, 'toString'),
    Object.getOwnPropertyDescriptor({name: 'Robert'}, 'name'),
    Object.getOwnPropertyDescriptor(this.window || global, 'Object')
);
```



to better understand descriptors, run above code in console

Object.defineProperties(obj, descriptors) \rightarrow **obj**

The plural version of Object.defineProperty somehow simplifies the multiple descriptors assignment, as it requires less typing (which is always a plus! ;-))

```
var me = {};
Object.defineProperties(me, {
   name: {enumerable: true, value: 'Andrea'},
   age: {enumerable: true, value: 37}
});
```

delete

When there is a configurable property, not only we can use the defineProperty again to change its descriptor, but we can also use delete to erase such property from the object.

```
// do you remember? by default all properties are defined as configurable
var me = {name: 'Andrea'};
delete me.name; // true
'name' in me; // false
console.log(me.name); // undefined
```

The returned boolean value indicates either that there was nothing to do, so the property can eventually be reassigned to that object without problems, or that such property was there but it was removed. Remember: delete returns false only when an own property is non configurable and cannot be deleted.

Object.getOwnPropertyNames(obj) → arrayOfAllNames

We have already mentioned object.hasOwnProperty(propName), but how do we actually get all own properties defined directly in a generic object?

```
// maybe I don't want to tell my age to everyone
var me = { name: 'Andrea' };
Object.defineProperties(
    me,
    { age: { writable: true, value: 37 } });
for (var k in me) console.log(k, me[k]);
// the above logs the `name` "Andrea" ... but what about the age?
console.log(Object.getOwnPropertyNames(me)); // ['name', 'age']
```

Once there is a way to retrieve all named properties of an object and their descriptors, we have everything we need to analyze any kind of objects.

Object.keys(obj) → arrayOfOwnEnumerableNames

This "public" method is similar to Object.getOwnPropertyNames but it returns an array of the object's own enumerable-only properties.

public and public static

We have been using methods such as Object.keys among others which are exposed through the globally available Object class. There also are other methods, in most of the native classes.

Array.isArray(obj), String.fromCodePoint(0x1F4A9), Or Number.isNaN(0/0), just to name a few.

These are methods related to the constructors they are attached to.

It is possible to define similar "static" methods ourselves, in the good old way.

```
function Rectangle(w, h) {
   this.width = w;
   this.height = h;
}
// Remember: functions are just like objects
Rectangle.isSquare = function (instance) {
   return instance.width === instance.height;
};
// let's test two different rectangles
var spongeBob = new Rectangle(2, 3);
spongeBob.pants = new Rectangle(2, 2);
// invoke the Rectangle.isSquare
console.log(Rectangle.isSquare(spongeBob)); // false
```

console.log(Rectangle.isSquare(spongeBob.pants)); // true

In most updated JavaScript engines, you actually have a special static property for that.

```
class Rectangle {
   static isSquare(instance) {
      return instance.width === instance.height;
   }
   constructor(w, h) {
      this.width = w;
      this.height = h;
   }
}
```

The Rectangle.isSquare method has the following characteristics:

- it's not inherited, but it's publicly available via the Rectangle constructor
- it's static, that is it is not expected to be used, or being invoked, with an execution context at all. We can even write Rectangle.isSquare.call(null, spongeBob) and it still returns true if that instance is a square. No this context involved whatsoever!

The latter conditions are the same for every public static function.

For instance, Object.keys doesn't need the Object context in order to be executed, and it's also not inherited.

```
var keys = Object.keys; // public static Object.key function shortcut
keys({a, 'a'}); // so that we can directly use it later on
```

extends

The inheritance journey started by talking about objects inheriting from other objects. It ends up now by talking about classes extending other classes.

There is no reason to freak out, it's just the shorter answer to the following question:

- Q : "What is Square?"
- A1: "it's a class that extends Rectangle"
- A2: "it's a class whose prototype inherits directly from Rectangle.prototype"

There is no concrete limit to the amount of prototypes an object can inherit from, but there is only one direct "*extend*", which in JavaScript is only possible between two classes.

Accordingly, when we use the term *"extend"* we can only refer to a very specific relationship.

```
// a Rectangle constructor
function Rectangle(w, h) {
  this.width = w;
  this.height = h;
}
// ... with an area getter
Object.defineProperty(Rectangle.prototype, 'area', {
  get: function () {
    return this.width * this.height;
  }
});
// a Square constructor
function Square(size) {
  // invoke the "super" (parent) constructor
  // which will setup our current instance context
  Rectangle.call(this, size, size);
}
// here Square extends Rectangle through its prototype
Square.prototype = Object.create(Rectangle.prototype);
var twoXtwo = new Square(2);
console.log(twoXtwo.area); // 4 \rightarrow 'cause 2 * 2 === 4 \land \uparrow;
```

super

Whenever the word super, or sometimes parent, appears in *Object Oriented Programming*, it refers to the closest anchestor class from which the current execution context inherited from.

It is a special keyword that should never be used outside a generic class method. It used to be a reserved word, something that could break a JS application when encountered.

In pre-*ECMAScript2015* era, before the class keyword got promoted from the status of reserved word to the status of one in use, only some libraries were using this keyword as a property to shortcut the access to anything provided from the inherited prototype.

These days thought, it's quite common to see its usage in the wild.

```
// the class Rectangle in ES6 \rightarrow a.k.a. ES2015
class Rectangle {
  constructor(w, h) {
    this.width = w:
    this.height = h;
  }
  get area() {
    return this.width * this.height;
  }
}
// how we use the `extends` keyword now
class Square extends Rectangle {
  constructor(size) {
    super(size, size);
  }
}
var twoXtwo = new Square(2);
console.log(twoXtwo.area);
                            // 4
```

Please bear in mind that if you need to call a super method that is not the constructor, you need to access it through the dot notation, for example: super.calculateArea().

In both cases, the super method execution context is automatically recognized, so you don't need to use .call() or .apply(), the new syntax takes care of that.

implements

As I am writing, this term is not actually part of current JavaScript specifications. It usually indicates that a class, or a generic object, is implementing some interface.

interfaces

An interface is usually a behavior described through methods or properties.

Interfaces can be used to simply describe expectations: they don't need to implement any logic at all. As an example, the following is a partial Human class described through the amount of interfaces it implements.

(please note the following text in not JavaScript but an example of how two interfaces might look like)

interface Walking
 method moveLegs(whichSpeed)

```
interface Breathing
  method inhale()
  method breatheOut(afterHowLong)
```

class Human implements Walking, Breathing

Remember: the term "partial" is also commonly used to describe some application, class, or object, that is not yet fully composed into its final "shape".

trait and mixin

These two terms indicate a similar concept: an object, or function, which is capable of enriching another object, class, or function, bringing its own specific behavior.

```
// basic mixin utility
function mixin(source, target) {
 // per each property name in the target object
 Object.getOwnPropertyNames(target).forEach(function (key) {
   // enrich the source using the same target[key] descriptor
   Object.defineProperty(
      source, key, Object.getOwnPropertyDescriptor(target, key)
    );
 });
 return source;
}
// simple trait we can use to enrich any object
// it has the whole logic in it, it could work by its own
var circle = {
 r: 0,
                         // initial radius value
 get circumference() { // calculate the circumference
    return this.r * 2 * Math.PI;
 },
                         // calculate the area
 get area() {
    return Math.pow(this.r, 2) * Math.PI;
 }
};
// generic shape, any object would do
var shape = {};
// we just need to enrich the object with one or more mixins
mixin(shape, circle);
// now if we have a radius of 12
shape.r = 12;
console.log(
                         // we'll see
 shape.circumference, // 75.39822368615503
 shape.area
                         // 452.3893421169302
);
```

Composing objects, or prototypes, via mixins, doesn't necessarily need to be an alternative to classes, it's actually the opposite.

Enriching prototypes via traits can make our code better organized and extremely portable.

It doesn't matter which class and what's inheriting, you can make it capable of multiple other behaviors as you need.

There probably are many other details you might want to learn regarding *Object Oriented Programming* and JavaScript, but among these pages the majority of words used to describe code snippets, applications, or anything else in regards to objects of any kind, have been covered at least roughly.

if else switch and conditional logic

It might feel weird to start reading about basic boolean logic after a comprehensive introduction to a more complex subject as *Object Oriented Programming* is, but the reason behind it is that at least now you should be able to understand even more complex examples.

conditional statement

Almost all programs are based on conditions. A login is performed only if user *and* password are known in the database.

A lift moves to other floors only if we press a button *and* nobody passes through its doors while they are closing, otherwise it opens the doors and starts the whole procedure over.

A countdown rings if its value reached 0 ... and so on.

Everything we do daily, and everything that surrounds us is based on basic if...else flows, and the same can be applied to any piece of software that may or may not do something.

The following code is a made up example on how our driving routine is based on conditions.

```
function letsDrive(car, where) {
 // we start the engine only if off
 if (car.isEngineOff()) {
   car.startEngine();
 }
 // let's move carefully in case of rain
 if (global.weather.isRaining()) {
    car.goSlowerThanUsual();
 } else {
   car.goUsualSpeed();
 }
 // how about the radio?
 if (Date.now() < global.today.LATE MORNING) {</pre>
   car.radio.findMorningNews(); // every day
 } else if (where === 'beach') {
   car.radio.findSurfingSongs(); // on vacation
 } else {
   car.radio.findRockNRoll(); // every evening
 }
}
var myNewCar = new Car();
// and every time I need to drive
letsDrive(myNewCar, 'my office');
```

An if doesn't always require an else, and there could be an optional else if in between.

ternary operator

The most used inline if...else shortcut is provided by the ternary operator that looks like a question mark: ?

It does one thing: it returns its first value if the condition is true or the second one, if the condition evaluates to false.

var ternaryResult = (14 / 7 === 2) ? 'correct' : 'back to school!';

switch statement

If the ternary operator is a shortcut for an if...else, the switch statement is the shortcut for a long list of if...else if...else if...else conditions.

```
function isLetterInAFRange(letter) {
 var result;
 // switch syntax, pass any value
 switch (letter) {
   // it will be compared for each case like
   // if (letter === 'G') ...
    case 'G':
     // if the comparison is true, it'll execute
     // the following operation
      result = false:
     // you can arbitrary break it, exiting from the switch
      break;
   // or you can create different entry points, a.k.a. "fall-through"
    case 'A':
                     // else if (letter === 'A')
    case 'B':
                     // else if (letter === 'B')
    case 'C':
                    // else if (letter === 'C')
    case 'D':
                     // else if (letter === 'D')
    case 'E':
                     // else if (letter === 'E')
                    // else if (letter === 'F')
    case 'F':
      result = true; // all these conditions return true
      break:
   // no condition satisfied? We have a default fallback
    default:
      result = false;
      break:
                    // optional within the `default` part
 }
 return result;
}
```

The number of else if (letter === 'A') { ... } else if (letter === 'B') { ... } would have made your code look like an octopus designed by Picasso.

logical || operator (read as OR)

Instead of many else...if that would result in performing the same action you can use ||.

Accordingly, the previous example can be re-written in the following way:

```
function isLetterInAFRange(letter) {
    if (
        letter === 'A' || letter === 'B' || letter === 'C' ||
        letter === 'D' || letter === 'E' || letter === 'F'
    ) {
        return true; // whenever a function returns, it exits from it
    }
        // this gives you the ability to avoid a redundant
    return false; // `else` because there's really nothing else to do
}
```

logical && operator (read as AND)

On the contrary of the || operator, the && operator keeps checking conditions only if the previous one has been validated. This is probably one of the most important concepts to keep in mind.

For instance, the following *ATM* operations will not be executed together, but one at a time.

It is also possible to combine both operators, somehow simulating what the ternary one can do.

```
// ternary operator via boolean logic
console.log((1 < 2) && 'OK' || 'EPIC FAIL'); // shows 'OK'
// equivalent of the ternary operator
console.log((1 < 2) ? 'OK' : 'EPIC FAIL'); // shows 'OK' too</pre>
```

However, whenever we need a ternary logic we should probably use the appropriate operator.

truthy and falsy values

The if or else…if statement, as well as ternary or logical operators, are very merciful. It doesn't matter if we check an actual boolean type true or false value. The value false, together with the number 0, the empty "" string, the null value, the undefined, and the exceptional NaN references, are considered *"falsy"* values. This means that everything else is considered *"truthy"*, as if it was a true condition.

```
if ({}) console.log('any object is truthy');
if ([]) console.log('even empty arrays');
if (-1) console.log('or negative numbers');
if (function(){}) console.log('or functions');
// falsy values ... all checked, not a single one passes
if (false || NaN || "" || 0 || null || undefined) {
    console.log('this message will never be shown');
}
```

antipattern

Not necessarily related to the boolean logic, the term anti-pattern indicates some bad practice, or some logic with well-known and undesired side effects in our code. For instance, simulating a ternary operator, instead of using it, constitutes an anti-pattern.

```
true ? console.log('OK') : console.log('FAIL'); // 'OK' \rightarrow correct
true && console.log('OK') || console.log('FAIL'); // 'OK' then 'FAIL'
```

This happens because console.log always returns undefined which is "falsy"!

DOM

The *Document Object Model* refers to *"the parallel world"* represented by any Web page. It's a huge set of interfaces that describe the capabilities and behaviors of every *HTML* element, *SVG* and *XML* node, or foreign object available through the document tree.

Whenever we deal with an object that comes from a page, we can call it a *DOM Node*.

tree

Many DOM nodes can contain other elements. The hierarchy is nested, from a universal root node down to every single node, with possible multiple nodes at the same level.

Following there is an example of a simple HTML page and its DOM tree.



Describing the tree above in JS, you can imagine the following object representation.

```
({ nodeName: 'html',
 children: [
    { nodeName: 'head',
      children: [
        { nodeName: 'title'},
        { nodeName: 'meta'},
        { nodeName: 'link'}
      ]},
    { nodeName: 'body',
      children: [
        { nodeName: 'main',
          children: [
            { nodeName: 'section',
              children: [
                { nodeName: 'article'},
                { nodeName: 'article'}
              ]},
            { nodeName: 'section',
              children: [
                { nodeName: 'article'},
                { nodeName: 'article'}
              ]}
          ]}
      ]}
 ]})
```

It must be said that DOM nodes provide much more than just a nodeName or a children property, and the best place to read documentation about it is the W3C website.

The entire reference is explained through interfaces, and its written in *Web IDL* format.

Web IDL

It is a dialect used to describe DOM related interfaces, and what matters most to us is to know what an interface's purpose is, and to somehow be able to read what it is expected when using such an interface.

EventTarget registration interface

As an example, if you read the definition of an EvenTarget (an interface implemented by almost every DOM node one can think of), you'll find it described as following:

```
// the interface name, if it implements other interfaces
// it will have a colon after the name and what it implements
interface EventTarget {
 // the returned type
                          // the method or property name
  void
                          addEventListener(
                                      // in ParameterType and name
                                      in DOMString type,
                                      in EventListener listener,
                                      in boolean useCapture);
  void
                          removeEventListener(
                                      in DOMString type,
                                      in EventListener listener,
                                      in boolean useCapture);
  boolean
                          dispatchEvent(in Event evt)
                                 raises(EventException);
```

};

The above description basically states that every node will have an addEventListener('type', listener, false) method in charge of registering an event and its removeEventListener counterpart, plus a dispatchEvent(event) method that could eventually raise an Event exception. The documentation provides a more detailed explanation of every single method and the meaning of each accepted parameter, but there are a few new terms here.

It would be good to clarify them as to better understand what we're talking about.

void

The JavaScript equivalent of the void term would be undefined. It's also a JS historical operator, which is similar to typeof, but always returns undefined no matter what.

```
console.log(void 0);  // 0 or any other value, logs undefined
console.log(void "hello"); // undefined
```

DOMString

Not much different from a generic JavaScript string. Nothing new to learn for once.

EventListener

The *W3C* documentation is great because whenever there is an interface you don't know, there usually also is a link where the interface is described. Regardless, we can easily say that the EventListener is probably the most misunderstood interface on the web.

Let's see how it has been described via Web IDL in the year 2000.

Whenever an event is registered, we can pass an object with a handleEvent method.

Below there is an example code that works via a browser console. Once you write everything in there, all you have to do is to click anywhere on that page, except on links.

```
var listener = {
 // if there is a handleEvent method,
 // it will be invoked when the event occurs
 handleEvent: function (event) {
   // where was this listener registered?
    var node = event.currentTarget;
   // is this listener the document indeed?
    console.log(node === document);
                                      // true
    console.log(this === listener);
                                      // true
   // let's clean up by removing this listener
   // the event.type is always available
   // it is the string we used to register our listener
   node.removeEventListener(event.type, this);
 }
};
// we register our listener, it should log once if we click
document.addEventListener('click', listener);
```

A handleEvent method can also be inherited through a class, confining the logic within each instance.

```
function ClickCounter(el) {
 // we register the click for this element
 el.addEventListener('click', this);
 // we also register a way to eventually drop it
 el.addEventListener(this.drop, this);
}
ClickCounter.prototype = {
 drop: 'drop:click-counter', // custom event type
 value: 0,
                                     // where the count starts
 handleEvent: function (evt) {
   var el = evt.currentTarget;
    if (evt.type === this.drop) { // remove the listener
     el.removeEventListener(evt.type, this);
    } else {
     el.textContent = ++this.value; // show the count
    }
 }
};
```

// here is a new ClickCounter instance that shows clicks on the body
new ClickCounter(document.body);

EventListener using a function

The reason this interface is considered the most misunderstood is that almost everyone uses functions, instead of objects, to register events.

"Why is that?" Back in 2000 when developers were trying to make events work in both standard and non standard browsers, there was no easy way to replicate the object behavior via ugly attachEvent and detachEvent non standard alternatives.

The fallback to *"functions only"* somehow compromised old books, articles, libraries, and frameworks, introducing problems that were already solved with the standard interface.

Whenever we use a function as the event listener we automatically opt in for the following:

- the this execution context always points to the event.currentTarget node
- we'll eventually need a companion object if we want to increment a counter or do anything else that could be related to a generic state of the node or of the component
- if we have no reference, we won't ever be able to "unsubscribe" later on

To solve the first two points of the above list of inefficiencies, the community came up with an idea: the creation of functions on-demand whose context is statically trapped.

```
// utility example
function bindTheContext(fn, context) {
    // return a function that uses ▲ `context` variable
    return function () { // and explicitly force fn to use context each time
    return fn.apply(context, arguments);
    };
}
function whoIsIt() { return this; } // it just returns the context
var me = {name: 'Andrea'};
var alwaysMe = bindTheContext(whoIsIt, me); // now trapped forever!
alwaysMe() === me; // true → it's always me
alwaysMe.call({}) === me; // true → still always me!
```

function bind

After being widely adopted, the *"function executed with a trapped context"* pattern became standard, and so the Function.prototype.bind was born. It also uses partial arguments.

```
function sum(x, y) {
  return x + y;
}
// it creates a "function"
// that every time it's invoked
// will use null as execution context
// and the number 5 as its first received argument
var sum5to = sum.bind(null, 5);
console.log(
                             // 12 → 5+7
  sum5to(7),
                             // 6 → 5+1
  sum5to(1),
                             // 5 → 5+0
  sum5To(0),
  sum5To(10, 20)
                              // 15 → 5+10 (20 ignored)
);
```

Thanks to this method, now we have the ability to reproduce the ClickCounter class.

```
function ClickCounter(el) {
 // the instance inherits these two methods so that
 // we can make their own methods, trapping the instance itself once...
 this.increment = this.increment.bind(this);
 this.stopListening = this.stopListening.bind(this);
 // ... that gives us the ability to register these methods
 // and later on to be able to remove them at any time
 el.addEventListener('click', this.increment);
 el.addEventListener(this.drop, this.stopListening);
}
ClickCounter.prototype = {
 drop: 'drop:click-counter',
 value: 0,
 // specific method to increment
 increment: function (evt) {
                                // this one will be the trapped
   evt.currentTarget.textContent = ++this.value;
 },
 stopListening: function (evt) {
    var el = evt.currentTarget;
                                   // we can unsubscribe both methods!
   el.removeEventListener('click', this.increment);
   el.removeEventListener(this.drop, this.stopListening);
 }
};
// here is a new ClickCounter instance that shows clicks on the body
new ClickCounter(document.body);
```

Remember: to unsubscribe from an event, you must pass the exact same reference.

Event

Since the beginning of its time, JavaScript has demonstrated its strength and capabilities as an excellent event-driven programming environment. You can register any sort of event type, and pass around any sort of data, in both browser and server-side engines.

However, the implementation of node.js, an environment that lets you run JavaScript-based programs entirely on the server-side, differs in many ways from the one in the browser.
browser events

Already introduced in the previous pages, browser events can be split into two major categories:

- 1. **user** or **system** events
- 2. **custom** events, also known as *"synthetic"*, which are events generated via code.

When you surf the Web and type on your keyword, click with the mouse, touch the screen with a finger, or use a generic pen pointer, you are creating and implicitly dispatching user events that are specially trusted as user intent.

mousemove, touchstart, keypress, input, load, focus and blur are only few of the dozens of well known events available in every browser by default. If you search for "DOM events" in Wikipedia, there's quite a good list of most of the best-known events.

bubbling and capturing

It should be now clear that the DOM contains trees. A peculiarity of each tree is the possibility to reach into each branch, a procedure also described as *DOM tree traversing*. By default, every event does this traversing, starting from the document, reaching the node that was the *event target*, and bubbling up again.

```
// per each element of this Array ...
[document, document.documentElement, document.body].forEach(function(el) {
 // add the same event type ...
 el.addEventListener(this.type, this, true); // true for capturing
 el.addEventListener(this.type, this, false); // false for bubbling
                                               // false is the default
}, {
 type: 'click',
                                               // the event type
 handleEvent: function (e) {
                                               // the listener
    console.log(
      e.currentTarget.nodeName,
                                               // where the listener
                                               // was added via forEach
     e.target.nodeName,
                                               // the node that created
                                               // this event notification
     e.eventPhase === e.CAPTURING PHASE // the event phase
    );
 }
});
```

The e.CAPTURING_PHASE constant is actually inherited from the Event constructor. This is one of the differences between the classes in JavaScript, and the classes in the DOM.

The document.documentElement is the always available HTML root node. To better understand what we are doing, now it's probably a good time to switch to an empty page. It is usually possible to do this by simply typing about:blank in the URL bar, which is the one where we usually write the website address such as *www...*, the one we could use to do a search.

If you open the console and write the previous forEach code in there, once you press enter and click on any available white space on the page, you should see the following:

```
#document BODY true // it starts capturing from the document
HTML BODY true // it traverses the HTML node to reach the target
BODY BODY false // it reaches the target, nothing to capture anymore
BODY BODY false // it starts bubbling up from the target
HTML BODY false // so it will trigger the parent HTML node listener
#document BODY false // until bubbling reaches the document listener
```

Again, here is what happens in the capturing phase: document \rightarrow html \rightarrow body And here is what happens in the bubbling: body \rightarrow html \rightarrow document

event.stopPropagation()

Traversing can be expensive, especially when in huge nested trees.

If a specific listener has no meaning for other nodes, stopPropagation can interrupt the event bubbling or capturing phase, dropping the current event's propagation.

Take the previous example with click listener. Simply adding e.stopPropagation(), at the beginning of the handleEvent method, never lets the click reach other nodes.

```
#document BODY true // e.stopPropagation() on document capturing phase
```

The line above is all you are going to see in the console. The target, which is the document.body, never sees the event coming. The same happens, if we stop the event propagation during a bubbling phase. Once it reaches the event.target, it won't bubble up anymore.

```
body → html → document // regular BUBBLING phase
document → html → body // regular CAPTURING phase
evt.stopPropagation();
body → // stop BUBBLING
document → // stop CAPTURING
```

event.preventDefault()

If a node has some special action associated to it, the .preventDefault() method prevents that action from happening. Are you clicking on a link? Preventing the default won't let the browser follow that link! Are you filling out some form? Preventing the default won't let you change the text in the input or it won't let you submit that form.

```
function justPreventDefault(evt) { evt.preventDefault(); }
```

```
// it won't open the link
a.addEventListener('click', justPreventDefault);
```

```
// it won't let you write in `input`
input.addEventListener('keydown', justPreventDefault);
```

With the touch events, you can even prevent pages from scrolling!

CustomEvent

Whenever we want to create our own synthetic events, the DOM provides its own constructor which Web IDL describes as follows:

```
// the following means → new CustomEvent('event-type', {detail: anyValue})
[Constructor(DOMString type, optional CustomEventInit eventInitDict),
Exposed=(Window,Worker)] // available on pages and web workers
interface CustomEvent : Event { // implements Event interface
  readonly attribute any detail; // the main difference with Event
};
// it describes the CustomEventInit object expected as an option
dictionary CustomEventInit : EventInit {
    any detail = null; // by default, detail property will be null
};
```

Well, maybe the Web IDL format is a bit lengthy, but it surely provides all necessary details.

```
// TL;DR (Too Long, Didn't Read)
var myEvent = new CustomEvent('my:type', {detail: {
  any: 'sort',
  of: 'data',
  iCould: function () {
    return 'possibly think about';
  }
}});
// so that if we have such listener in some node
document.addEventListener('my:type', function (evt) {
  console.log(
    evt.type,
    evt.detail,
    evt.detail.iCould()
  );
});
// we can dispatch the event, invoking the associated listener
document.dispatchEvent(myEvent);
```

Since the CustomEvent interface also implements the generic Event, each previously described method, such as evt.preventDefault(), evt.stopPropagation(), and the currentTarget property will be available, making the event look "real".

EventEmitter

When it comes to server-side events, taking node.js as the main example, the entire capturing and bubbling story doesn't make sense anymore: there is no tree to consider there, just a possible amount of listeners ready to react to asynchronously emitted events.

The type of received arguments is also very different, and the most commonly used *"signature"* for listeners is the function (possibleError, possibleReturn) {} one.

signature

In JS and in programming in general, a function signature refers to the whole description of the generic function: its name, the names of all expected parameters, the scope in which the function always executes, and other possibly relevant info about it.

```
// the function sum accepts two arguments, and returns their sum
var sum = function (a, b) { return a + b; };
// the function mul also accepts two arguments but it does something else
var mul = function (a, b) { return a * b; };
```

In short, both sum and mul functions above have the same signature.

parameters

When we define the name and the amount of possible arguments that any developer can pass when invoking a function, we are actually defining the *"function parameters"*. The reason why we talk about arguments instead, is that this reference exists and gets created every time a function is invoked, making the relation easier to understand.

node.js events

Events are provided by objects that expose a mechanism to register and eventually remove them. To create objects that are able to emit events, we need the events module.

While obj.on(type, listener) is a shortcut to obj.addListener(type, listener), there is no obj.off method but a obj.removeListener(type, listener) one.



The EventEmitter class historically accept only functions as listeners, no objects and therefore no handleEvent.

WeakMap

Every time you add a listener to an object that acts like an EventTarget, you are basically creating some sort of *"invisible relation"* between those two objects.

As an example, if we don't have a reference to a generic listener, we cannot possibly remove it from the node, or the object, which we used to subscribe for a specific event. At the same time, that same object does not expose a mechanism to reach any of its subscribers.

Back to the listener object example, whenever you perform the following operation:

```
node.addEventListener('event-name', {handleEvent: function (e) {}});
```

you tell the browser to somehow link the fresh new listener object to that node. However, if you remove the node from the document, so that it becomes unreachable and it can be removed from the memory, its object listener also disappears from the memory.

This kind of relationship between objects is called *"weak"*, and it can be manually created.

```
// create a weak map instance
var wm = new WeakMap;
function weakData(obj) {
                                   // relate a generic object
                                   // which if not in the `wm` instance
 if (!wm.has(obj)) {
                                    // will link it with `{}` as a value
   wm.set(obj, {});
 }
 return wm.get(obj);
                                    // returns the associated link
}
var myReference = {};
                                   // any kind of object!
weakData(myReference).relationship = 'ninja'; // add a property
console.log(
 myReference.relationship, // the object is unaffected
 weakData(myReference).relationship // the weakly referenced is
);
```

Symbol

Mentioned as *type* right at the beginning of this glossary, symbols are special keys used to set or read uniquely identified object properties. They can be useful to avoid name clashes.

```
var obj = {};
var uniqueKey = Symbol('unique'); // create a key named "unique"
obj[uniqueKey] = 123;
var anotherKey = Symbol('unique'); // create another key named "unique"
console.log(
    obj[uniqueKey], // 123
    obj[anotherKey] // undefined, it's a unique key!
);
```

A peculiarity of Symbol is that it cannot be created as new Symbol() or it will throw an error. "... but why?" Because typeof Symbol() === "symbol" which is consistent with the way constructors create other primitives such as "string", "boolean", or "number".

The following is a common expectation problem with JavaScript primitives created via new: js typeof new String(''); // "object" which is wrong if one expect "string" typeof String(''); // "string" which is usually correct/expected

primitives

Any value where typeof returns something other than "function" or "object" (and the value is not null) is primitive. The main characteristic of primitives is that it is not possible to attach properties to them: these are all immutable values.

```
var t = true; t.key = 'value'; // no error, but also no value
var s = 'string'; s.key = 'value'; // same as above
var i = 0; i.key = 'value'; // again ...
var k = Symbol(); k.key = 'value'; // ... and again
console.log(t.key, s.key, i.key, k.key); // all things are undefined
```

Object.getOwnPropertySymbols(obj) → arrayOfSymbols

The default symbols descriptor is *configurable*, *enumerable*, *writable*, and with a generic *value*, like any other property. However, symbols are "*in-visible*" for common operations such as for/in loops, Object.keys(obj) or Object.getOwnpropertyNames(obj).

```
var obj = {};
var key = Symbol();
obj[key] = 'value';
for(var k in obj) console.log(k); // nope, nothing happens here
console.log(
    Object.keys(obj), // [] → it's an empty Array
    Object.getOwnPropertyNames(obj), // [] → once again an empty Array
    Object.getOwnPropertySymbols(obj), // [Symbol()] → finally we found it!
    key in obj // true → `in` operator works as well
);
```

It is important to remember that even if they are less *"in your way"*, **symbols are not private**.

One should also keep in mind that they are just like properties: if the intention is to create an unobtrusive relation between two objects, we are still better off with a new *WeakMap*.

shared Symbols

It's possible to handle globally shared symbols via the .for(key) and the .keyFor(sym) methods.

special Symbols

The Symbol primitive constructor has a few public static properties that are also well known symbols. These properties can be used to change the default way in which our code works.

As an example, the Symbol.iterator, often aliased as @@iterator, can be used to describe how a for/of loop would iterate over a generic collection.

for/of loop

In modern JS engines it is possible to iterate over a few collections using for/of.

```
for (var value of ['a', 'b', 'c']) console.log(value); // 'a', 'b', 'c'
```

This is possible thanks to the Symbol.iterator "protocol", a term used to indicate a specification on how the iterator property should look like, and what it should return.

```
var me = {name: 'Andrea', age: 37};
                                          // guess who is it again?
me[Symbol.iterator] = function () {
                                          // define iterator protocol
  var keys = Object.keys(this), i = 0;
                                          // grab all current keys
  return {
                                          // return an object ...
    // fat arrow syntax to bind `this`
    next: () => {
                                          // with a next method ...
      return {
                                          // that every time is invoked
                                          // will return another object
                                          // with two properties
        done: keys.length <= i,
                                          // done \rightarrow false until the end
        value: this[keys[i++]]
                                          // value → like me[currentKev]
      };
    }
  };
};
                                          // we can now grab all values
for (var value of me) console.log(value); // 'Andrea', 37
```

fat arrow

Modern JavaScript comes with many syntax shortcuts, and fat arrow is probably one of the most useful one. It doesn't need the word function to be defined, and it makes this and arguments references available directly from the outer execution context:

```
function newJS() {
  return () => this;
}
function oldJS() {
  return function () { return this; }.bind(this); // explicit bind
}
var obj = {};
var ojs = newJS.call(obj);
var ojs = oldJS.call(obj);
console.log(njs() === ojs()); // true, both return `obj` as explicit context
```

The syntax can be used as a generic function such as

Or it can have a proper function body whenever curly brackets are used.

```
var cube = (num) => {
    num = Math.pow(num, 3);
    return num;
};
console.log(cube(3)); // 27 → (3 * 3 * 3)
```

generator

Let's consider the previously described Symbol.iterator protocol again. Having an object that through its .next() method invocation returns a new object with done and value as its properties is common in various parts of the latest language specifications. Generators are another example. A GeneratorFunction is defined as a function with a wildcard *.

Contrary to functions, generators do not execute once invoked, they create some sort of *"magic"* scope that is paused by default and it won't move on unless one asks for it.

```
function* playList(groups) { // #1 paused
  return groups.length; // #2 ended
}
// nothing happens ... we are paused at point #1
var music = playList(['Pearl Jam', 'Foo Fighters', 'Tool']);
// to reach the generator returned value ...
var result = music.next(); // move on until the end
console.log(result); // {done: true, value: 3}
```

The previously mentioned paused execution refers to the generator and the generator only, resulting in a *non-blocking* piece of code. It's possible to decide when a generator should pause at any time, using the special yield keyword.

yield

Similarly to a mp3 player's pause button, every yield pauses a generator execution.

```
function* playList(groups) { // #1
 var i = 0;
 while (i < groups.length) { // until we have listened to all groups</pre>
   yield groups[i++]; // use groups[i] as value and pause
 }
 return 'listened to ' + i + ' groups';
}
var music = playList(['Pearl Jam', 'Tool']);
// let's listen to one group at a time
console.log(music.next()); // {done: false, value: 'Pearl Jam'}
// let's have a break, music is paused, can we do something else?
console.log('is this console responsive?', 'yes it is ;-)');
// ok, the music is on again, who was next?
console.log(music.next()); // {done: false, value: 'Tool'}
// awesome!!! any other group to listen to?
console.log(music.next()); // {done: true, value: 'listened to 2 groups'}
```

When the while loop condition isn't satisfied anymore, there is no other yield to pause the music execution. This flags the iteration as done, where the value

is optionally the returned one, in this example that is simply the number of groups that were played.

You can always generate a new playList with other groups and listen to them.

```
var rock = playList(['Foo Fighters', 'Pearl Jam', 'Tool']);
var classic = playList(['L. van Beethoven', 'W.A. Mozart', 'A. Vivaldi']);
rock.next(); // for the next song I feel like classic ...
classic.next(); // actually d'you know what? give me rock again!
rock.next(); // \,,/(>.<)\,,/</pre>
```

generator.next(value) → {done:boolean, value:any}

In previous examples we have only checked what kind of object gen.next() returned. However, that's not the only trick as it can also send a value.

It is important to remember that yield pauses "right to left", that is it sends out its optional right hand value, and it eventually assigns to its left hand the gen.next(one).

This explains why the very first time we call .next() we cannot pass in any value: we simply start the execution of the function, until we stop and receive the very first yielded value, if any.

Below there is an example that will miss the very first value passed along.

```
var g = forever();  // g is now paused on top of forever
console.log(g.next('A').value); // first yield is 0, 'A' is ignored
console.log(g.next('B').value); // 'B' received, while loop will yield → 2
console.log(g.next('C').value); // 'C' received, while loop will yield → 3
```

Following a textual attempt to describe what happens while we loop.



generator.throw(error)

If something goes wrong during an iteration, it is possible to exit from the generator either by returning, as in a regular function, or by throwing an error.

The whole point of having generators though, is the ability to control them from the outside without direct access to their scope or context, but with the equivalent of the remote control *"play/pause"* buttons.

The gen.throw(new Error('get out!')) method gives us the ability to also force the generator to stop its execution, even if nothing wrong happened in its execution scope.

Promises

If we need to chain a sequence of asynchronous operations we have a few options:

- nest callbacks if scoped references are relevant
- pass dozens of relevant references to each function needed in the process
- attach any sort of data at runtime and invoke bound methods all over

However, if we want to handle possible errors during this process we are basically doomed, so this is the moment when a Promise could save the day.

A common asynchronous approach	A common Promise-based approach
--------------------------------	---------------------------------

async(input, function (output) {
 // use output + do something else
});
promise(input).then(function (output) {
 // use output + return some value
});

There is a subtle but very relevant difference between the classic and Promisebased approach which is that a Promise instance can be passed around as it is, and we can then add our logic at any time by simply invoking its .then(callback) method.

```
var waitTillThisBookIsFinished = new Promise(
// a new Promise requires a function which will be invoked later on
function ( // once this function is invoked, it will receive two arguments:
  resolve, // a resolved function to invoke once we our business is done
 rejected // a rejected function to invoke in case something goes wrong
) {
                                 // a thousand things to write
 var thingsToWrite = 1000;
 var bookContent = '';
                                   // from no content
 while (thingsToWrite--) {
    bookContent += 'moOAR content'; // to "All The Things"!
 }
                             // the book is finished and resolved
 resolve(bookContent);
});
// whoever is waiting for it
waitTillThisBookIsFinished.then(function (content) {
 console.log(content);
                                   // so that once resolved
                                   // someone could read its content
});
// somebody else
waitTillThisBookIsFinished.then(function (content) {
 writeARantAboutTheBook(content); // and someone else could do
                                   // something else
});
```

Promises are best used with asynchronous code.

The example above is only a walk through the logic involved and not a best practice.

"One shot" asynchronous operations are indeed the best kind to be solved with Promises.

```
var userAskedToIgnoreTheApp = new Promise(function (res, rej) {
    document
    .querySelector('#app-banner button.nope') // app button
    .addEventListener('click', function fn(evt) {
        evt.currentTarget.removeEventListener(evt.type, fn); // clean up
        res(); // resolve!
    });
});
// whenever that click happens
userAskedToIgnoreTheApp.then(function () {
    localStorage.setItem('do-not-ever-bother-with-bloody-app', true);
}); // t it's just a little synchronous database available on the browser
```

promise.then(resolved, rejected) \rightarrow newPromise

If a promise invokes its received reject(anyValue) function, by simply using .then(notify) there will be no effects: there is also the need for a callback for possible errors.

```
var p = new Promise(function (res, rej) { rej('because'); });
p.then(
   function yep(result) { console.log('All good!'); }, // will never happen
   function nope(err) { console.error(err); } // 'because' error
);
```

promise.then(resolved).catch(anyError) → newPromise

If an error occurs inside any invokation of the promise chain, only the .catch(function) will be notified, (not the rejected one).

This is actually an important distinction between these two patterns because one really involves a manual rejection, while the other reacts even if the promise has already been resolved.

Following there is an example code.

```
var p = new Promise(function (res, rej) { res('OK'); }); // all good here
p.then(
 function yep(result) {
    console.log('All good!');
                                                          // 'All good!'
                                                          // damn it!
    throw new Error('actually no!');
 },
 function nope(err) {
    console.error('nope', err);
                                                          // never will
 }
).catch(function (err) {
 console.error('caught', err);
                                                          // here we are
});
```

promise.then(fn).then(fn) \rightarrow newPromise

Every time we invoke .then(fn) we create a new promise from the initial chain. The main difference between promises created via a chain and simple promises is that for the ones created via a chain we need to return some value or they won't receive the result.

```
var pRoot = new Promise(function (res, rej) { res('OK'); });
var pFirst = pRoot.then(function (info) {
    console.log(info); // 'OK' → which is the resolved data from pRoot
    return 123; // whoever is chaining from pFirst will receive 123
});
var pSecond = pFirst.then(function (info) {
    console.log(info); // 123 → it's a chained, possibly transformed, info
});
```

If we want to expose the received data we can simply return that, otherwise we can return a different result, or even nothing.

Promise.all(arrayOfPromises) → newPromise

Asynchronous non-blocking operations are not necessarily related to each other.

Think about organizing a vacation, one has to think about transport tickets, booking a hotel, renting a car, and finally preparing some luggage.

```
Promise.all([ // planning a vacation through an Array of Promises
 new Promise(function (res, rej) {
   res('hotel booked!');
                                 // book a room
 }),
 new Promise(function (res, rej) {
   res('flight booked!');
                                // book the flight
 }),
 new Promise(function (res, rej) {
   res('luggage ready!'); // prepare the luggage
 }),
 new Promise(function (res, rej) {
   res('car rented!');
                                 // rent a car
 })
]).then(function (results) {
 console.log(results);
                                // awesome, we can GO!!!
 // ["hotel booked!", "flight booked!", "luggage ready!", "car rented!"]
});
```

Whenever any of those Promises fail, the rejected catcher will know, and we will probably have to reschedule our entire vacation. Let's hope not!

Promise.resolve(value) → **newPromise**

If we'd like to create a promise that is already resolved, Promise.resolve(value) is a handy shortcut. Its counter part is Promise.reject(anyValue) which provides a similar counter utility.

```
var p = Promise.resolve('any value');
p.then(function (result) { console.log(result); });
```

Generators and Promises

Let's have a look at the following utility, it combines both patterns.

```
// originally explained in https://www.promisejs.org/generators/
function syncLike(genFunc) { // it accepts a generator function
  return function () {
                             // and it returns an anonymous function
    var
                             // that once invoked
      gen = genFunc.apply(this, arguments), // will create a generator
                                           // and it will manage it here
      handle = function (result) {
        // it will return a Promise, no matter what the value is
        var p = Promise.resolve(result.value);
        // if the generator hasn't finished yet, we can
        // chain a new Promise passing `next` and `orFail` as callbacks
        return result.done ? p : p.then(next, orFail);
     },
              = function (value) { return handle(gen.next(value)); },
      next
      orFail = function (error) { return handle(gen.throw(error)); }
             // `next` and `orFail` return t promises chained via `handle`
    :
   try { return next(null); } catch(err) { return Promise.reject(err);}
 };
             // t our journey begins, with a fallback in here t
}
             // it's a Promise "circus" that drives a generator
```

It's hard to understand what's going on until we see a concrete example so here it is: do you remember when we talked about planning your vacation? Here it is how it could look like now:

```
function arrange(what) { // it returns a new Promise that will resolve
  return new Promise(function (res, rej) { res(what + ' is ready'); });
}
// an always welcomed activity to do ^ ^;
var letsGoOnVacation = syncLike(function* () {
                                      // multiple var declaration
 var
   hotel = yield arrange('hotel'), // it will arrange the Hotel
   flight = yield arrange('flight'), // it will arrange the flight
   luggage = yield arrange('luggage'), // it will arrange the luggage
   car = yield arrange('car') // it will arrange the car too
  ;
 console.log("let's go!", hotel, flight, luggage, car);
});
letsGoOnVacation(); // it will log pretty much everything!
// let's go! The hotel, the flight, the luggage, and the car are ready!
```

Using promises to somehow resolve generators is a nice way to make the code look synchronous.

If you are wondering whether Promise.all could have been used instead of having to wait for one action after the other one, the answer is Yes!

And that would have been more efficient, for the simple reason that we could have executed all those actions in parallel.

```
// a better approach to multiple asynchronous actions
var letsGoOnVacation = syncLike(function* () {
   console.log("let's go!", yield Promise.all([
      arrange('hotel'),
      arrange('flight'),
      arrange('luggage'),
      arrange('car')
   ]));
});
```

To conclude: Promises' main strength, but also their main caveat, is the fact that they cannot be cancelled. That means that we might regret using them for any operation that could take a long time, as these are probably the wrong solution to the problem. Instead we could track those through a progressfriendly operation, something a file download or an image upload could require.

Timers

Asynchronous code have been already mentioned, but so far without concretely testing what they are about. Well, asynchronous is not usually something created just for fun, but rather an inevitable part of our application, such as a database query, a client/server interaction, a worker notification, and so on.

JavaScript also offers its own mechanisms to schedule the execution of some arbitrary code at some point in time. The most documented and widely implemented functions to schedule such executions are setTimeout and setInterval, which are both capable of detaching from the current execution flow in a nonblocking way.

setTimeout(fn, delay, arg1, arg2, argN) → timerIdentifier

To schedule the invocation of any function at any time in the future and once, setTimeout is the way.

If you are patient enough to wait for a whole second, you'll see the random number returned by Math.random() invocation appear in the console. However, if you are no longer interested in that operation, you can always clean it up, as long as you hold its identifier.

clearTimeout(timerlidentifier)

If you set a timer, you should be able to cancel it too. This method does exactly that.

```
var ti = setTimeout(console.log, 1000, 'content');
clearTimeout(ti); // print will not be invoked in 1 second
```

setInterval(fn, delay, arg1, arg2, argN) \rightarrow timerIdentifier

If setTimeout schedules the function to be executed once, setInterval schedules it to be executed every delay milliseconds.

```
var chronograph = setInterval(
                                           // invoke this function
   var time = new Date(Date.now() - startTime);// check time difference
   console.log([
                                          // log HH hours
     nDigits(2, time.getHours()),
     nDigits(2, time.getMinutes()),
nDigits(2, time.getSeconds()),
                                          // with MM minutes
                                           // and SS seconds
     nDigits(3, time.getMilliseconds())
                                           // plus mmm milliseconds
   ].join(':'));
 },
 1,
                                            // try each millisecond
 Date.now(),
                                           // using this startTime
 // and using this function which converts 1s to '01' or 1ms to '001'
 function (n, i) { return (Array(n).join('0') + i).slice(-n); }
);
```

When starting the above chronograph, a pretty fast list of logs will show how much time elapsed since its beginning. We can stare at it, or stop it!

clearInterval(timerIdentifier)

It has the exact same logic and behavior as seen for the clearTimeout. If you want to stop an interval:

```
clearInterval(chronograph); // stops logging the elapsed time
```

And that's it folks, no more frentic logs in our console but that was fun, wasn't it?

There is one caveat about timers and that is their scheduled execution. If we set a timeout at a second, but at some point there's a long operation that takes 2 seconds, our timer cannot invoke the scheduled callback before the 2 seconds or longer. The same goes for the interval: if we schedule anything under 10 ms, the timer will try to execute too much, and will keep queuing invokes to the possibly already delayed execution queue. For instance, in the previous chronograph the timer was scheduled at 1 ms, but the console didn't show every single ms, each was resolved as soon as possible, but never sooner than 4 to 10 milliseconds or more.

requestAnimationFrame(fn) → rafIdentifier

In the DOM User Interface (UI) world, when it comes to update any visible information on the page, there is a need for a better scheduler than timers and "rAF" was indeed born for that.

```
(function (startTime, nDigits) { // "rAF" has no extra arguments
(function chronograph(diff) { // so we IIFE our chronograph
var time = new Date(Date.now() - startTime);
document.body.textContent = [
    nDigits(2, time.getHours()),
    nDigits(2, time.getHours()),
    nDigits(2, time.getSeconds()),
    nDigits(3, time.getMilliseconds())
].join(':');
requestAnimationFrame(chronograph); // and we schedule via rAF again
}());
}(
Date.now(),
function (n, i) { return (Array(n).join('0') + i).slice(-n); }
));
```

Just like with timers, you can clear a "rAF" via cancelAnimationFrame(rafIdentifier).

process.nextTick(fn)

Node.js has a way to schedule functions and let them execute ASAP, while keeping the execution queue somehow under control. Whenever you want to avoid blocking the current thread and schedule an async operation, nextTick will do it.

requestIdleCallback(fn, waitExpiresIn) → ricIdentifier

Back to DOM, there is the possibility to schedule callbacks when the browser is less busy, and this is the case for "*rlC*".

```
requestIdleCallback( // it was created to schedule less important tasks
function justChecking() {
   console.log('just checking if everything is fine'); // ← not important
   requestIdleCallback(justChecking, 2000); // reschedule
  },
  2000 // ← wait max 2 seconds then run this task if not already done
);
```

Remember: apart from Promises, every other asynchronous operation can be cancelled, and "*rlC*" is no exception. Use cancelIdleCallback(ricIdentifier) and you are done.

template strings

We have covered so much but we still don't know much about strings, and even less about templates.

First of all, strings can be concatenated via the + operator, or using the method .concat(one[, orMore]) inherited from the String.prototype.

```
var a = 'this ' + 'is ' + 1 + ' string!'; // concatenating via +
var b = 'this '.concat('is ', 1, ' string!'); // str.concat(a, b, c)
console.log(a, b); // it logs the same string twice
```

Neither single nor double-quoted strings support multiple lines.

Moreover, if one wants to include a dynamic value in a string, they have to close the quote, concatenate the reference, and eventually open other quotes again.

Single or double quoted strings	Template strings
<pre>function greetingsOldWay(name) {</pre>	<pre>function greetingsNewWay(name) {</pre>
return 'Hello ' + name + ',\n' +	<pre>return `Hello \${name},</pre>
' thank you for being here!';	thank you for being here!`;
}	}

The above comparison shows the difference between quotes and backticks: with latter we can not only forget about new line problems, but we can also access local references, including this context.

The syntax is represented by the delimiters \${ } within which we could write JS.

tagged template strings

A special power of back-ticked strings is their "tag-ability". A tag is a function that will be executed receiving an array of strings found right before and after possible curly brackets as first argument, and zero, one or more computed values as a result of whatever code is present inside those possible curly brackets found in the string.

```
function tagLogger(statics) { // statics is always an Array
for (var i = 1; i < arguments.length; i++) { // if there are extra args
    console.log(statics[i - 1]); // log previous text
    console.log(arguments[i]); // log current value
  }
  console.log(statics[i - 1]); // log last statics string
}
// we can tag any backticked string now and check the console
tagLogger `before ${2 + 3} after`; // → logs 'before ', 5, ' after'</pre>
```

Tag functions are especially handy when it comes to transforming values before returning them.

```
function htmlAttributes(markup) {
    // declares an output, a regular expression, and a transformer
    for (var out=[], re=/[&<>'"]/g, fn=(m) => ('&#'+m.charCodeAt(0)+';'),
        i = 1; i < arguments.length; i++
    ) out.push(markup[i - 1], arguments[i].replace(re, fn));
    out.push(markup[i - 1]);
    return out.join(''); // transformed
}
var value = '<any"thing>'; // evil input
console.log(htmlAttributes `<input value="${value}">`); // sanitized!
```

regular expression

The RegExp constructor, together with its instances, brings in a powerful syntax that is capable of searching, matching, testing, or replacing strings through special letters with special meanings.

There are many caveats, possible security implications, string encoding issues, and modern or older ways to deal with them that I don't think it'd be wise to partially cover them in here.

What is important to know is their literal syntax representation:

JSON

A JS glossary without an explanation about the "JavaScript Object Notation" would not be credible, so here it is: JSON is the string representation standard for objects, arrays, strings, booleans, numbers, null and nothing else.

```
// JSON is a string representation of the following values
var str = '{"name":"JSON","values":["string",1.2,{},[],true,false,null]}';
```

We can parse a string via JSON.parse(str) or encode any compatible value using JSON.stringify(compatibleValue) and both methods would accept a callback as an option. The callback will be invoked while reading each entry or while reviving values during parsing.

Math

This globally available object is full of methods whose aim is to help us doing ... math!

The most commonly used are Math.pow(num, power), Math.sqrt(num, radix), Math.max(num1, num2, numN) and Math.min(num1, num2, numN), but you can always check all of them by name, and eventually investigate their signature online.

// the following is a helper that tells us a lot about any object
function tellMeAbout(that) {console.log(Object.getOwnPropertyNames(that))}
tellMeAbout(Math); // let's see what's in there!

parseInt(string, base) → integerNumber

Whenever you deal with user input, you deal with strings. If you ask for the age or any other integer number, parseInt will help you transform the user input.

```
console.log(
   parseInt('3'), // we can avoid specifying the base, however
   parseInt('11', 2), // 11 in base 2 is 3, not 11
   parseInt('08', 10), // 08 in an octal world would be 0, not 8
   parseInt('FF', 16) // FF in base 10 would be an error, not hex 255
);
```

parseFloat(string) → floatNumber

When you need to transform a string into a floating number, parseFloat is the way to go. It doesn't accept any extra argument so a parseFloat('FF') would result into a NaN value, because it would not be recognized as a number or hex string.

Recent ECMAScript features

Since I've started writing this glossary, few things changed already in JS.

The ECMAScript speicifcation is indeed a living, and rolling, standard. This means that new features becomes available as soon as all staging steps are matched.

There are few modern topics omitted in this glossary but described online² and few others worht a quick exploration.

let declaration

A var declaration can be defined in any line of a generic function but it's available in the whole body, even if defined after a condition.

```
function hoisted(shouldDefine) {
  console.log(value); // undefined
  if (shouldDefine) {
    var value = 123;
    }
    return value;
}
hoisted(false); // undefined
hoisted(true); // 123
```

The same happens for generic for loops.

```
for (var i = 0; i < 1; i++) console.log(i); // 0
console.log(i); // 1</pre>
```

Modern JavaScript engines can declare block scoped variable through the let keyword, being sure such declarations won't interfer with the surrounding code.

²https://github.com/tc39/ecma262

```
function hoisted(shouldDefine) {
    if (shouldDefine) {
        let value = 123;
        // this is the only part of the function
        // where accessing `value` won't throw an error
        return value;
    }
}
for (let i = 0; i < 1; i++) console.log(i); // 0</pre>
```

console.log(i); // thows ReferenceError: i is not defined

rest parameters

There are cases a function could accept one or more arguments.

The rest feature simplifies eventual boilerplate needed to loop over arguments.

```
function sum(a, b, ...others) {
  let c = a + b;
  while (others.length) {
    c += others.shift(); // returns and drop the value at index 0
  }
  return c;
}
sum(1, 2, 3, 4); // 10
```

spread operator

Another simplification, this time for the common .apply(context, arguments) pattern.

```
var numbers = [1, 7, -3, 4];
// Math.max accepts N values and returns the higher
Math.max.apply(Math, numbers); // 7
Math.max(...numbers); // 7
```

Мар

Similarly to WeakMap, Map³ instances can relate objects but it's your responsibility to remove such relation.

³https://developer.mozilla.org/en/docs/Web/JavaScript/Reference/Global_Objects/Map

Recent ECMAScript features

Set, and WeakSet

Meant to simplify unique collections related operations, Set⁴ and WeakSet⁵ are similar to Map and WeakMap except the key is internal and their values are always unique.

Proxy

Recently revisited, the Proxy constructor has the ability to transparently wrap a generic object and intercept upfront all operations.

```
var object = {};
var proxied = new Proxy(object, {
    has: function (target, prop) {
        return target.hasOwnProperty(prop);
    }
});
'toString' in object; // true, inherited
'toString' in proxied; // false, not own property
object.toString = function () { return 'hello proxy'; };
'toString' in proxied; // true
```

The in case is just one of the many possibilities, all described online in the MDN Proxy page⁶.

destructuring

It is possible to declare variables while extracting values from arrays or objects.

```
// destructuring arrays
let [a, b] = ['a', 'b']; // a === 'a', b === 'b'
// destructuring objects
let {a, b} = {a: 1, b: 2}; // a === 1, b === 2
```

⁴https://developer.mozilla.org/en/docs/Web/JavaScript/Reference/Global_Objects/Set

⁵https://developer.mozilla.org/en/docs/Web/JavaScript/Reference/Global_Objects/WeakSet

⁶https://developer.mozilla.org/en/docs/Web/JavaScript/Reference/Global_Objects/Proxy

JavaScript F.A.Q. on demand

Below there is a list of quick answers to the most frequently asked questions:

- **is JavaScript safe?** We can write good and bad code with pretty much every programming language and the more we know about it, the safer it becomes.
- is JavaScript fast? Overall yes, and on top of that, there are various efforts from major players to make it as fast as native C or C++ could be.
- what else should I know about JS? I've been using JS and its variants for the last 16 years and yet, I still don't know the entire API exposed in every different client or server environment. Every occasion to learn more is a good one, but first you should try to understand if you are interested in frontend or backend development. Also, try to read updated books, because in the last 20 years JS has been changing a lot. It's good to know the past, but at the end of the day we are going to use modern features.
- have you heard about transpilers? I have not only heard about them, but I use them, too. For example, most of the agnostic examples in this glossary have been successfully tested via babel-node, a command line interface (*CLI*) that brings the latest features from the latest ECMAScript specifications to node.js. Would I use them in production? It depends!
- what does "in production" mean? It's the official version, currently offered to every user, of a generic website, application, framework, design or program. It's what we deliver, as opposite to what we "prototype" to quickly test our ideas.
- what does "prototype" mean? I know this glossary might have been confusing, but when it comes to non-technical JS discussions, a prototype is a sketch, or a quickly created version of a final product, that should probably never go to production as it is.
- which FrameWork, library or tool should I use? The one that solves your problem, if any. Otherwise you are better off with what the frontend or backend core already offers.
- is JavaScript for web pages only? These days it's hard to find electronics that don't run JS. Smart watches, routers, your current computer, or even colorful led light bulbs, are compatible with some JavaScript engine or are already running it.
- **is JavaScript memory safe?** There is a *Garbage Collector* that takes care of cleaning up the mess we created. What we can do is to help it doing its job, for example by not holding values indefinitely.

There surely are other questions that I've missed here, to which I encourage you to look for answers. A good place with decent, usually up to date documentation is the MDN - Mozilla Developer Network⁷.

There are many other topics I didn't have the chance to talk about, such as: *Web Workers, Service Worker, IndexedDB*, local and *sessionStorage, Ajax* via *XMLHttpRequest* and the recent *Fetch API*, but I believe that if you made it this far, you are now able to understand most online technical articles.

Useful links

Mozilla Developer Network World Wide Web Consortium WHATWG Node.JS Babel JS YDKJS (book series) Exploring ES6 developer.mozilla.org www.w3.org whatwg.org nodejs.org babeljs.io youdontknowjs.com exploringjs.com

⁷https://developer.mozilla.org/en-US/